GENERAL ASPECTS OF HISTORY AND PHILOSOPHY OF MEDICINE	
Iedicine in the era of the Old Testament: from the history f hygiene and Biblical practice of healing	
E.N. Shulga	
Clinical neurosurgery philosophy	
L.B. Likhterman	1
HISTORY OF MEDICAL DISCIPLINES	
A.B. Belov, P.I. Ogarkov, M.I. Ishkildin, A.Y. Mindlina, N.I. Briko, E.S. Zenkevich	2
'he factors of emergence of neurosurgery as a clinical specialty	
B.L. Lichterman	3
FROM THE HISTORY OF RUSSIAN MEDICINE	
On the history of the "forgotten" institutions of therapy	
V.I. Borodulin, S.P. Glyantsev, S.V. Dronova, K.A. Pashkov, A.V. Topolyansky	5
foscow exile (1921–1923) in the life and activities f prominent surgeon S.P. Fyodorov	
M.N. Kozovenko	6
A Doctor from Russia in Belgian Congo: Pyotr Dyleff	
V.K. Ronin	7
I.Y. Mudrov on issues "of piety and moral qualities of the physician"	
I.V. Siluyanova	8
The Moscow Physics and Medical Society's activities n the second half of the 19th century	
T.I. Surovtseva	9
On the early years of Taurida University in Simferopol (1917–1921)	
T.I. Ulyankina	10
SPECIFIC QUESTIONS IN THE HISTORY OF MEDICINE	
a study of St. Petersburg medical toponyms	
A.Z. Likhtshangof	11
A few comments about temporality and anticipation n the neurosciences, psychology and psychiatry	
C. Debru	11
SOURCE	

Philosophical points of rational knowledge in the theoretical and practical system of Galen (on the basis of the example of "Adhortatio ad artes addiscendas")

D.A. Balalykin, A.P. Shcheglov, N.P. Shok I.M. Sechenov First Moscow Medical University, The Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation

In an analysis of Galen's "Adhortatio ad artes addiscendas", the authors examine its epistemological model. One of the main theses of Galen is the quality of the human soul (the presence of intelligent design within it), providing for the possibility of rationally exploring the surrounding world. The result of rational-empirical activity is the gaining of true knowledge. Man, according to the Roman physician, is able consciously choose a field of employment, meaningfully and purposefully acquire so-called "technical skills". The resulting empirical evidence and speculative practices, and their contemplation) and the daily practice of these things (theoretical and practical). According to Galen, all that is important for the development of the human capacity for rational knowledge of art can be divided into three levels: higher (spiritual, sacred) – medicine, rhetoric, geometry, astronomy, arithmetic, grammar, law, music; handicraft art – pottery, architecture, carpentry, teaching in school, sculpture and painting; all other forms of activity (acrobatics, athletics and so on). One of the basic ideas of Galen is the importance of rational knowledge in conjunction with internal and external harmony (body and soul), which is achieved by working on the unconscious (the control of basic human passions: the struggle with pride, avarice and gluttony). Only this way, according to Galen, allows for a person to fully realize all the possibilities of the mind and master true art, to comprehend the true nature of things, the laws of nature.

Keywords: history of medicine, history of science, Galen, rational knowledge, truth, epistemology, technical skills

The interdisciplinary character of the modern history of science requires new methodological approaches to research. This is of particular importance when it comes to protoscience [1]. Examining the events of the history of medicine outside of the general context of the development of natural science leads to considerable inaccuracies in the evaluation of historical facts. In our opinion, the key methodological tool for the evaluation of the development of natural science and medicine before the 17th century is the concept of the religiophilosophical system [2]. A good example of an area of research which demands a complex multidisciplinary analysis, not only from the point of view of the history of medicine, but also from that of the history of philosophy, is the work of Galen (a

Roman doctor active in the 2nd and 3rd centuries). As we have already noted several times, the work of Galen provides not only a particular anatomical and physiological system, but a complex theoretical and practical system as well [3]. The historical significance of Galenism, the dominant medical theory for more than 1500 years, extends far beyond the borders of medicine as a natural-science discipline. In our opinion, it is still not entirely correct to say that the scientific revolution in medicine as related to the dominance of Galenism. The concept of the "scientific revolution" is well defined in relation to the scientific activity which took place starting in the 17th century [4]. Judging by the historical fate of Galenism, we cannot speak of analogous universal processes taking place in protoscience of the 2nd and 3rd centuries. Above, this conclusion would be premature because the epoch we described has been insufficiently studied: there are inexactly es-

[©] D.A. Balalykin, A.P. Shcheglov, N.P. Shok

tablished scientific concepts, starting with debate about the very definition of protoscience. It is also necessary to conduct comparable research into the development of mathematics, physics, and other areas of natural science related to the formation and development of Galenism in medicine. The characteristics presented by the modern, standard conception of science and scientific knowledge (universality, provability, logic, and the existence of laws and evidence), can also be applied to Galenism. This article will attempt to offer a complex analysis of a new source – Galen's "Adhortatio ad artes addiscendas". This work has been prepared in the context of approaches to the study of Galen developed in earlier publications [5-9].

Galen's system, in our opinion, is insufficiently studied. In Western historiography of the last 20 years there has been a significant increase in interest in both this area of medical history in particular and in ancient protoscience as a whole. One of the factors that lead to this interest was a change in the mid-90s in the general approach to the evaluation of the relationship between religion and science: "conflict theory", also known as the "Draper-White thesis" [10, 11], was replaced by the "theory of complex relationships" proposed by J.H. Burke [12]. K. Russell, working within the "complex theory" rightly highlights significant historical moments when the relationship between science and religion could be characterized as complementary or even "synergistic" [13]. The concept of the religio-philosophical system and its use in analyzing protoscience allows for the clarification of the mutual influence of natural philosophy and medicine in the period before the 17th century [5]. Within this framework, Galen appears as a rationalist thinker, influenced by the ideas of Plato, Aristotle, and Hippocrates, who considered the material world and human nature to be fundamentally cognizable. Galen's approach to medical theory and practice inevitably lead him on a serious philosophical search for the means of understanding and the nature of proof. The scientific grounding of Galen's views and their historical significance is possible only upon complex analysis of the original sources, and for this it is necessary to conduct a Russianlanguage scientific revolution and a critical comprehension of his main works.

In his work "Adhortatio ad artes addiscendas", Galen constructs his meditation within the frame-

work of the Aristotelian conception of zoology, highlighting the importance of the higher part of the human soul. He notes the difference between humans and animals, starting from his own interpretation of the concepts of "speech" and "word". At the beginning of the treatise we are given the basic thesis: "It is entirely unclear whether there is a connection between rational speech and wordless animals"¹, and it is this question which determines the course of his further investigations². Coppeменному исследователю порой трудно понять ход мыслей, характерный для философа-эллина. For the modern researcher, it is at time difficult to understand the train of thought characteristic of the Hellenistic philosophers. "Speech" and "word" in relation to human reason were, according to ancient philosophical tradition, logical propositions: everything which is said should be susceptible to proof (this was noted by Thucydides³). Thus, speech consists of propositions which lead to some position (formulation), which, in turn, becomes the definition of certain things. From this one can conclude that a definition (in the sense of "logos") is a "divination" or "prophecy" of a subject by means of formulating its essential characteristics and determining from these the corresponding conditions for its existence. As a result of these conceptual practices, a phenomenon can become the subject of consideration and further investigation. The task of reason is to ensure that the process of thinking about a phenomenon has a rational foundation ($\xi \chi \epsilon i \nu \lambda \delta \gamma o \nu$ – "to be rational") and thus to preserve its correspondence with the ideal form. "Logos" (reason) in particular establishes a connection between the subject and the object. It is this idea which Galen develops when he says that

¹ "Εἰ μὲν μηδ' ὅλως λόγου μέτεστι τοῖς ἀλόγοις ὀνομαζομένοις ζώοις ἄδηλόν ἐστιν". Here and henceforth Galen's ancient Greek text is taken from the publication: Adhortatio ad artes addiscendas, ed. E. Wenkebach, Pr otreptikosfragment,ddiscendas, ed. E. Wenkebach, Naturwissenschaften und Medizin 4.3 (1935) 90–120. (Cod: 5,224: Med.) [14].

² To designate these starting points, he uses a widely used concept in ancient philosophy – that of "ὁ λόγος" (logos – word, speech) and its opposite – "ἄλογος" (alogos; a suitable translation in this context is "irrational"). With reference to both these concepts Galen uses the verb "ὀνομάζω" ("to expound", "to express").

³ "καὶ παράδειγμα τόδε τοῦ λόγου ... ἐστί" [15] "Proof of the above is as follows...".

rational speech is not merely a series of meaning-less words⁴.

In our previous publications, we have often noted the inadequate historiographical explanation of the historical fate of the work of Galen. The development of Galen's theoreticopractical system, in our opinion, should be examined in the general context of the synthesis of ancient traditions and early Christian thought. There are historical parallels for this approach in the correspondence of the natural philosophical ideas of Plato and Aristotle with the views of the Early Church. It is precisely these ideas which form the foundation of the natural philosophy views of the Christian apologists up to the middle of the 3rd century. Galen's work "Adhortatio ad artes addiscendas" provides only a few examples of his views on the relationship between the spiritual and the corporeal. On the one hand, many authors rightly observe that Galen accepts the ideas of the Platonists and Peripatetics concerning the tripartite nature of the human soul. However, Galen speaks of the reactions of the body (and its separate parts) and the soul as practically a single unit. He, of course, claims that he is analyzing the function of reason, realized by the higher part of the soul, as a single unity. The difficulty in interpreting this aspect of Galen has contributed to the contradictory interpretations of Galen's thought by 20th century historians, for example, that of O. Temkin. However, we claim that it is inappropriate to refer to Galen's supposed agnosticism due to the ontological inadequacy of these arguments. We propose that Galen purposely avoided public evaluation of Plato's theory of the immortality of the soul. Moreover, as historians of science, we are concerned with the philosophical ideas of rational cognition upon which Galen bases his practical scientific ideas. His conception of the human body as a psychosomatic unity, in which the soul controls the mind and the reasoning faculties and has a supernatural and immaterial origin, is important in this respect. We find it highly important to analyze these new works of Galen (which have a particularly pronounced philosophical character) in the context of the Christian theology of their time and the time immediately following it. On

the other hand, the treatises of Galen represent the direct development of the tradition of rational thought in natural philosophy and medicine. Keeping these fundamental methodological reference points in mind allows for a complex investigation of the development of medical theory and practice before the 17th century.

In the Aristotelian tradition, a thing has the ability to be rationally (ὑητός) expressed. The rational definitions of things always correspond to their true natures. We see this idea further developed later on by Christian theology, as when Cyril of Jerusalem taught: "Ду́ь же ръчню глть"5. The mind grasps truth by reasoning and uncovering cause and effect relationships⁶. In the Christian tradition, reason is one of the greatest gifts of God. "Дша мыслива же и раз8мьна"7, said John Exarch of Bulgaria, compiler of the famous Hexameron, a religious text that was popular in ancient Rus [19]. Of all living beings, only man is created with reason and genuine spirituality (λογικός) and therefore man alone is capable of rational speech by means of words (concepts). Galen thought that only man was "blessed with that greatest of divine gifts – philosophy"⁸, which was given to him only because of his diligence, zeal, and yearning ($\eta \phi i \lambda o \pi o v (\alpha)$) for truth. The acquisition of true knowledge requires the probing labor of reason and is obtained via the correct understanding of existence9. It is precisely this which Aristotle called philosophy's dialectic method [18, 116a30. 38 1004b 25–26]¹⁰ and which Plato identified as the method of questions

⁴ «εί καὶ μὴ τοῦ κατὰ τὴν φωνήν, δν καὶ προφορικὸν ὀνομάζουσιν» [14].

⁵"The spirit is expressed through words". In the Greek original, the action which can be translated as "express" was translated by Cyril as "ῥητῶς", indicating a rational expression of the true.

⁶ Accordingly, reason is not thought to be static (an unmoving state of rest and balance), but is seen rather as dynamic and capable of movement and force, a spontaneous selfmover (ή κίνησις). See Aristotle's "πάσης ἀρχῆς κινητικῆς ἢ σιατικῆς" [18, 1019a 20b4].

⁷ "The soul governs thought and reason".

⁸ "μέγιστον τῶν θείων ἀγαθῶν φιλοσοφίαν" [14].

⁹ "τὸ κατὰ γένη διαιρεῖσθαι τῆς διαλεκτικῆς ἐπιστήμης ἐστίν" ("the art of correct investigation of reality") [20] and "ἐπιστήμη ἀληθῶν καὶ ψευδῶν καὶ οὐδετέρων" [21] — "and, connected with this, leading to true knowledge".

¹⁰ "ή δε πειραστική περὶ ὧν ή φιλοσοφία γνωριστική" – "dialectic is the striving for that knowledge which is the domain of philosophy" [18, 116a30. 38 1004b25–26].

and answers [22, 47c]¹¹ which allowed one to attain genuine knowledge.

According to Galen, the opposite of reason is the empty and illogical originative principle (άλογος), a meaningless concept which, despite being expressible in words, is in fact just a series of sounds $(\pi 000001 \times 6c)$. Such an unconscious principle belongs to the animal realm. Animals are not capable of rational (articulate) speech and are moved by instincts (a certain set of complex behavioral actions connected to unconscious bodily desires). Galen was, of course, familiar with Aristotle's theory of the "animal spirit", which determined the unconscious actions of animals. Aristotle represented it as an impulsive drive (ή ὁρμή), the unrestrained striving, desire and passion of the body and soul. Passion $(\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta o c)$ is always misfortune and suffering which is inherent in the temporal and connected with adversity and struggle. According to Plato, the unconscious is always torn between opposing desires ("ἐναντίαν ὁρμὴν ὁρμηθείς" [23]). Later we see this idea of ancient philosophy developed further by Gregory Bogoslov when he talks about restraining the unconscious passions and desires and opposing them: «Не пораблатиста страстемь ($\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta_{OC}$)... да не впадемъ въ плътьскых страсти (τοις πάθεσι τῆς σαρχός)»¹² [24]. In his own work on rationality and irrationality, Galen uses a participle of the verb «ὀνομάζω»¹³ ("to express", "expound"). However, it is worth remembering that this verb has several meanings – to name or to give a thing its own name. In this way, there occurs the separation of things from non-existence. The process of иматвореніа ("name creation") is the uncovering of thoughts in ideal form and transforming them into words. This is what is told in one of the ancient Russian Christian compendiums: "Иматворение же есть ръчь по подражанію и по подобню нъкоемоч назнаменаемоүмөү бывъши "¹⁴ [25]. Galen apparently used the verb " $\delta vo\mu \dot{\alpha} \xi \omega$ " on the supposition that it was important to find the true names of things. He meant

that it is necessary to understand their concepts rather than their essences, which are inaccessible to the human mind; it is necessary to understand their regularities and the laws by which they exist in themselves and in relation to other natural entities. The hidden names of things "написана соуть на небесеуъ"¹⁵ [26] are a secret which can be uncovered only by means of reason.

Galen describes man as having reason (logos), revealed through language and speech, as well as two other innate principles: the unconscious behavior characteristic of animals and the capacity for thought characteristic of man. To define this innateness. Galen uses the philosophical term "ένδιάθετος", which refers to the internal principle which brings object and subject into a definite and idiosyncratic order. The unconscious principle characteristic of animals is incapable of thinking or naming objects. Galen believed that human nature is such that the archetype ($d_0\chi \epsilon \tau v \pi \sigma v$) of the unconscious, animal foundation which forms part of the inner, innate (ἐνδιάθετος) essence of man, exists alongside the innate rational capacity for knowledge. Both of these parts are universal principles present in man from birth until death [14]¹⁶ and exist in a constant state of opposition and strife. Galen believed that this dualistic inner arrangement is inherent to all human beings: "The human race, of course, has at the same time something in common with the gods and with mute animals: with the former that he has reason and with the later that he is mortal" (Appendix, par. 9).

What is it that separates man from the world of animal passions? In answering this question we come to Galen's idea of what separates man from the unconscious natural world. It is the capacity to differentiate that Galen links with human reason and also with the knowledge that results from the workings of the mind. Not only is man able to arrange things by skill and technique ($\eta \tau \epsilon \chi v \eta$), which is given to him by nature and which is intrinsic to all animals, he is also able to acquire knowledge which allows him to master the technical arts, helping him to overcome his hostile natural environment as well as his own animal nature: "Human beings are distinct from [all other living beings], and therefore we clearly see that with all the learned behaviors which

¹¹ "ἐρωτᾶν τε καὶ ἀποκρίνεσθαι" [22] – "through the questions and answers of the interlocutor (that is, directed towards philosophy).

 $^{^{12}}$ "Do not become a slave to the passions, <...> and let us not fall into carnal passion".

¹³ "Εἰ μὲν μηδ' ὅλως λόγου μέτεστι τοῖς ἀλόγοις ὀνομαζομένοις ζώοις ἄδηλόν ἐστιν. ἴσως γὰρ εἰ καὶ μὴ τοῦ κατὰ τὴν φωνήν, ὃν καὶ προφορικὸν ὀνομάζουσιν" [14].

¹⁴ "The creation of names occurs with the help of words (speech) for certain preexisting (already named) things".

¹⁵ "Written in the heavens".

 $^{^{16}}$ «ὅτι μέντοι πλεῖστον ὅσον αὐτῶν διενηνόχασιν» [14] – "All things partake in this innate condition".

the animals are capable of, only man is has knowledge; he alone is capable of learning whichever art he himself chooses" (Appendix, par. 1). With the help of knowledge and the technical arts, man rises above the necessities of nature. In speaking of knowledge, Galen does not use the word " $\delta v \delta o \varsigma$ " ("reason"), which often carries the connotation of an abstract principle of thought, but rather uses the term " $\eta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta$ ", meaning a skill or art founded on true understanding.

"Knowledge" (ἡ ἐπιστήμη) for Galen is founded on practical experience and technical skill. In this way, true knowledge, i.e. the only possible knowledge, always stands in opposition to opinion (ή δόξα), which is based solely on man's imagination. Higher knowledge has several levels. The highest level is contemplation, the result of which is always reflection. It is, in the words of Plato, "the mental comprehension of all of time and all of existence" (θεωρία παντός μέν χρόνου, πάσης δέ οὐσίας) [27, 486a]. The "mental comprehension" of existence ($\dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \omega \rho(\alpha)$) consists in the observation of a coherent picture of the world, in which there is speculative reflection on something specific (in the words of Aristotle, "indulging in thinking about anything" (τὴν θεωρίαν ποιεῖσθαι περί τινος) [18, 989b 25]), leading to the foundations of learning (e.g. mathematical ($\dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha \tau \kappa \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \omega \rho (\alpha)$ or medical theory (ἡ ἰ $\bar{\alpha}$ τριχή θεωρία)). The meaning of theoretical knowledge is that its ideal foundations should be manifested in matter, and thus from the instability of nature arises the artificial, artistic form created by man. This is the search for the harmonious union of the internal and external (καλός $\kappa\alpha$ ἀ ἀ $\gamma\alpha\theta$ ός). The ideal meanings of substances, hidden in formless nature, should be exposed with the help of absolute theoretical forms. Природная хаотичность получает наглядное подтверждение в виде формы, благодаря чему становится подобной абсолютным прообразам (о̀ εἶδος $\kappa\alpha$ παράδειγμα). Between theory and matter are two more levels of knowledge by which it is transformed. The lower level is knowledge founded on experience ($\hbar \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon_1 \rho(\alpha)$, acquired by direct observation (empiricism). Plato called this kind of activity bare practice, defining it by its relation to medicine as an occupation, saying "medicine is purely practical, not scientific" (ταῖς ἐμπειρίαις ἄνευ λόγου την ιατρικήν μεταχειρίζειν) [27, 584c]. Pure empiricism in medicine is a primitive craft,

i.e., knowledge which does not go beyond the boundaries of the material. In order to make matter take the form we need it to have (the artificial form), a cunning trick is required to force matter to reveal its secrets. This method is called technical skill ($\dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \chi v \eta$) – the faculty of creating something on the basis of understanding theoretical forms and the empirical experience of working on material. Thus, technical knowledge and skill are situated between theoretical and empirical knowledge.

Galen's philosophical system proposed the practical use of theoretical results in order to benefit people. A person can acquire true knowledge, but this ability is realized only if reason is actively used to modify and improve the environment. In order to discover the laws of nature, one must understand that they exist in the first place. But this is not enough – one must also be skilled in the art of overcoming the internal and external conditions which he has been in since birth. Reason and the ability to create things according to the laws of nature comprise, according to Galen, true human freedom. The freedom of choice of knowledge and skill is exactly what raises human beings above the natural world: "Most animals do not have any skill, save for a few, and those are determined by nature and not by their own choice. Man can learn everything that the animals can. In the art of weaving, man is like the spider; in the art of sculpture he is like the bees. Man can learn perfectly well how to swim, though he is created for walking. And in the divine arts man does not yield to the gods, competing with Asclepius in medicine and with Apollo in the arts that he is master of: archery, music, and prophecy. Man competes even with each of the Muses in the art which she is hers and which she is master of. Neither is man inferior to the gods in geometry, nor in astronomy, and, moreover, as Pindar believed, he can comprehend both what is under the earth and beyond the heavens. For his diligence he is bestowed with that greatest of divine blessings – philosophy. Therefore, even *<*if> speech (sounds) pertains to the animals, man alone can be called rational (Appendix, par. 1).

An important feature in Galen's work "Adhortatio ad artes addiscendas" is his answer to the question of whether the driving force and creator of the world is accidental. Galen couches his explanation in mythological and symbolic terms. After his description of the utility of the arts and the related higher purpose of man, he turns to the goddess of fate and destiny, Tyche: "Oh, if only we were ashamed of our indifference about the interference of the gods and attributed our industry to something completely different, not perfecting our skill but rather constantly relying on Fate! The perversity of this view was already established by the ancients, who depicted [the goddess of fate [Tyche] as a woman, [which is already in itself a sufficient indicator of foolishness]. Although they put a helm into her hands and fastened her legs to a spherical base, they also deprived her of eyes, thereby showing the randomness and uncertainty of any event. If one were on a boat in a violent storm causing the boat to take on water and putting it at risk of sinking under, it would be irrational to hand control of the ship over to a blind helmsman. It seems to me that far more "shipwrecks" occur in the lives of many people than occur at sea. If we are aware of the possibility of such dire circumstances in life, entrusting our lives to a blind goddess, without the most solid grounds possible, would be wrong. Chance is so frivolous and erratic that it often neglects the most worthy men and enriches the most unworthy. But even the unworthy may suddenly be stripped of their riches whenever she so pleases to strip them. A great multitude of unlearned people follow this goddess. She never stays in one place due to her own volatility, at any time crashing down from the cliff and into the sea. In these [dangerous] places her irrational followers, in following her, perish, yet she, without any damage to herself, powers through all [obstacles]. And then she sneers at her sobbing worshipers and those who call out for her in vain. Yet there is no use in this" (Appendix, par. 2) Galen, in using this colorful imagery, touches on a fundamental philosophical question: what is more important in the functioning of the world – chance or law? For Galen, the concept "ή ἀνάγκη" (necessity) means not only inevitability and necessary force (κατ' ἀνάγκην) in a metaphysical sense (as predetermination from above, fate, or doom), but the obligation of man to fulfill his duty (κατ' ἀνάγκην ἐπιτελεῖν τι) – to create something and put it into practice. Accordingly, "necessity" is the law of nature, heaven ($\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \circ \dot{\upsilon} \rho \alpha \nu i \omega \nu$), and the life of man,

which is hidden from him. Ignorance of the true laws leaves man alone in nature, where his fate is to be in want. Knowledge of the laws of nature ($\eta \dot{\epsilon}\pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta$) is always an agonizing task involving complicated and endless study and research into the world around us, resulting in your chosen art (in our case medicine). In this case it involves understanding nature and obtaining reliable proof which can be used in practice.

The concept of "necessity" is in opposition to the concept of "chance" or " $\dot{\eta}$ $\tau \dot{\nu} \chi \eta$ " ¹⁷, i.e. those circumstances that occur as the result of bad luck or, on the contrary, of a fortunate turn of events. Galen shows that for those who believe in "chance" and "luck" there is no natural law or end towards which man, by means of knowledge and work, can direct his life. For them, everything that happens is accident ($\ell v \tau \dot{v} \chi \eta \gamma \dot{v} \chi v \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$). According to Galen, such a view is dubious: the goddess of fate always abandons her followers, condemning them to disaster and misfortune. Galen also directs our attention to the fact that accident is not a necessary condition of life. This conception reaches its final form in the Christian tradition: "Съл8чан же есть еже не можеть въ себъ быти, нъ въ иномь имать бытье. СУщие бо подълежаштее есть, акы вешти дълесемъ, сълоучай же въ соуштии раз8мѣваемо есть, рекъше тъло и образъ, не бо есть тъло въ образъ, нъ образъ въ тълъ. Да тъло очбо есть свштие, а образъ съл8чан"¹⁸ [28, р. 141–143].

If the categories of "necessity" and "accident" put forward by Galen are considered in a wider philosophical context, then it seems that they are not merely correlated [29]. Galen inserts into them the philosophical ideas and connections inherent in these categories. In this way, Galen reveals not only the essence of an object, but also the law which defines its natural form. The concept of "necessity" for Galen expresses the essential law (laws) which can be realized by means

¹⁷ With artistic conviction, Galen represents chance with the image of Tyche, the goddess of fate.

¹⁸"Accident is something which cannot exist in itself, but has its existence in something else. For essence is the foundation, like matter is for things, but accident is something in essence perceived, like for example the image of the body. After all, it is not the body which exists of the image, but the image of the body. Thus the body is essence, and the image is accident"[28, p. 141–143].

of rational knowledge and art. This can be accomplished only by man. Chance serves as a reflection of external, accidental, unstable, singular connections. It is manifested through the interaction of independent causal processes from which a number of possible outcomes arise, only one of which can be realized. In his work, Galen underscores the fact that freedom and independence are given only to man and it is this fact which distinguishes him from the rest of nature.

The system proposed by Galen in his treatise "Adhortatio ad artes addiscendas" can be represented as follows: the noumenal realm, which is connected with the dwelling place of the various gods; the "verbal" realm, belonging to man; and the sensuous or "non-verbal" realm characteristic of the animal world. This ancient conception of reality¹⁹ was later incorporated into the framework of Christian natural philosophy: "Словесьно же такоже се о челов'яцтях изменть и словесы невидимаа д8шьнаа пошьстьа къ дальним обличаа. Ч8вьствьно же еже въ бесловесьнынуть раз8мъваетьса, къ кръмаштии бо и расташтии силъ и ч8вьствьною имать" ²⁰ [28, р. 140–141].

Galen's inclusion of the god Hermes in his treatise is curious. For Galen, Hermes symbolized the authentic knowledge given only to the Hellenes. "Once Aristippus, when his ship sank, was washed up on shore near Syracuse. When he was on the beach, he became very happy because, having seen geometrical figures in the sand, he knew that he was among the Hellenes and wise men, and not among barbarians" (Appendix, p. 5). In other words, people who did not have reliable scientific knowledge ($\hat{\eta}$ έπιστήμη), were equated to the mute animals²¹. This is a very important point for Galen: the separation of peoples into tribes and nations does not occur on merely a biological level. It also occurs on the basis of the knowledge which each group of people possesses. For the first time, the capacity for understanding and investigating the ideal forms, by which man can reach the highest level of technical skill, served as the basis and founding principle for a group of people. Wealth and social status were nothing in comparison to true knowledge and technical skill. "When the many unfortunate people, constantly striving for wealth and besieged on all sides by gold and silver, fall into difficult circumstances, destroy their bodies and souls. They are unable to see that even among the mute creatures, those who have useful skills in some art are valued above all. For example, war horses and hunting dogs are much more highly valued than the rest. It is interesting that, even slaves have command of certain skills and often earn silver by these skills, but those who have command of the slaves [their owners] do not even take care of themselves! After all, is it not the case that sometimes even a measly slave is worth a thousand drachmas while his owner is not even worth one? But what am I saying "one"? He might not even be taken as a gift. Do they consider themselves honorable who have not even learned a single art? They raise mute animals skilled in a variety of tasks, yet the lazy and incompetent slave they consider to be nothing significant. They concern themselves with their fields and their other properties, maintaining them in the best conditions, but for themselves they have the least concern. They don't even know whether they have a soul! Thus it is clear that they themselves deserve contempt like the slaves. That is why, if somebody met such a man, he would say to him the following: "Oh man! You have a wonderful house and slaves, horses, dogs, and fields, and everything you have is beautiful, but you do not even take care of yourself". Antisthenes aptly described the rich and boorish, calling them golden cattle, and Diogenes likened them to fig trees at the edge of a cliff, as their fruit is not eaten by people but by crows and jackdaws. Sometimes those who have money do not do good deeds for the citizens, but rather waste everything on sycophants who, as soon as the money is gone, will walk past [their benefactors] without noticing them. He is no stranger to the Muses who likened such people to a spring: before, they used to draw fresh water from it, but

¹⁹ This picture of reality cannot be considered complete due to the lack of reference to the vegetative realm and to non-spiritual, brute, material nature. The vegetative realm, including plants, and the non-spiritual realm, involving material nature, are, of course, the lowest levels of existence. However it is likely that Galen did actually adhere to this four-fold picture of reality.

²⁰ "The verbal is how man, by means of naming and declaring that which is discovered, is turned to the external and invisible movements of the soul. The sensuous is for the mute, for along with the ability to nourish themselves, grow, and give birth, they have the ability to use the senses"

²¹ A similar understanding of knowledge to Galen's was the foundation for European technical progress when scientific knowledge for use in practical applications was raised to primary importance.

when it ran dry, they, raising the bucket a little higher, found only stagnant muck. It is quite true that those who have money have fame only thanks to their money, but as soon as they are deprived of it, they are deprived of everything they have. What will happen to those people if they have nothing [other than money], and to the others who, worshipping the goddess of Fate, praise them?" (Appendix, par. 6).

The goal of profiting, of massing large amounts of money by any means necessary, is in Galen's view senseless. Moreover, he considers making money (unlike medicine, for example) to be a short-sighted human need, which some people try to make their life goal, even as their own true desires dissolve into the illusory. In this case, money, welfare, and happiness become merely pleasure, transforming into vicious gratification. These are the people who, in the Christian ascetic tradition, are said to "возлюбн гостебное житте, татьне века сего пустошнаго, а будушаго нетатьна и живота и безсмертна и раю и муки не поманует "²² [30].

Pride in one's noble birth is the result of insufficient knowledge and a lack of virtue: Such are those that flaunt their noble blood and take pride in it beyond measure. Experiencing deficiency in their own virtue, they turn to their ancestors. Thus, they do not understand that the value of their birth, of which they are so proud, is like a coin - whenminted legally then it is authentic but in other cases it is false (Appendix, par. 7). In this case it is impossible to hide their own inadequacy. Knowledge and virtue, and not ancestry, social status, and wealth, are the higher standards of evaluation of any person: "Do you not see that nothing prevents us from wondering at the words of Anacharsis the Scythian and calling him wise, even though he was a barbarian by birth" (Appendix, par. 7). Being a wise person or philosopher, independent of ethnic heritage (even if one is a Scythian or barbarian), is more important for Galen than being an uneducated but rich and famous person. Of course, such ideas were truly revolutionary in the ancient world, where wealth and power were always considered to be more important.

Galen also talks about how wise people and philosophers should conform to such ideals. Such

people, he thinks, are gifted with sharp minds capable of analysis and dialectic, which helps them direct their minds towards the true ("настави 8мъ мон на раз8мъ истинныи" [31] – "put their minds on the path of true reason"). They have internal self-control, which tempers the will and gives them fortitude and, when necessary, allows you to take a stand and defend justice (or that which appears to be just). Comprehending and recognizing moments of his own unconscious, the "spiritual" (πνευματικός) person determines the value of his inner state and avoids everything separate from and in opposition to the ideal foundation of his nature. The establishment of intrinsic value and the spiritual impulse ($\tau \circ \pi v \epsilon \tilde{\upsilon} \mu \alpha$) out of one's own indistinguishability leads to the right usage of things. «Окрываеть втанца Бъ, тако да обнажит страдбы (тоис άθλους ²³)» [32]²⁴. Inner beauty should be should be supplemented by an inner ideal constitution. For ancient Greeks, it would have been strange to think that a deformed soul could exist within a beautiful body. Galen thinks otherwise: if a man successfully acquires knowledge or art, then he has reached the highest good, but if his animal nature has defeated him. then he has acquired nothing, except perhaps for shame. The great doctor found the attraction to the temporal utterly contemptible: pointless concern with the bodily does not allow man to rise above the level of the irrational animals. The many, endlessly thinking about their bodies, have a soul which has been extinguished. The soul in which reason has become paralyzed is like the soul of the wordless animals. The gods do not need ritual sacrifice and libations, but glorification by means of art. Thus, Asclepius rose not because he was a god but because of his commitment to the art of healing. Galen says that a person should so master the art of his profession that only death can take

²² "Love the temporal existence and corruption of this empty lifetime, yet not remember the future, pure life and immortality in heaven or the torments (of hell)".

²³ John Chrysostom uses the same terminology as Galen: we see the same term "ὁ ἀθλητής", meaning "one who takes part in a contest", or "fighter". Galen and John Chrysostom understand the positive meaning of this word only in a spiritual sense. Later on in the text, Galen contrasts the positive, spiritual sense of the word "athlete" with its common, material forerunner – the professional sportsman, a character who has an animal-like spirit, merely entertaining audiences with his pointless athletic exercises..

²⁴"God gives the crown to he who accomplishes the feat (τους άθλους)".

away his hard-earned talents.²⁵ Knowledge, art, and skill do not perish under any circumstances, while when a rich man loses his money, he loses his significance along with it. Accordingly, according to Galen, the best wealth is that of acquired knowl-edge and art.

Furthermore, Galen divides the various arts into three categories according to their relevance. One of these categories contains the sacred and the holy arts, the best of which is medicine, the most important and honorable art. Other arts that belong to this group are rhetoric, music, geometry, arithmetic, the art of calculation, astronomy, grammar, law, and also sculpture and painting. In the second category, according to Galen, are the various practical arts (carpentry, shoemaking, shipbuilding, military arts, etc.) In the third category he places the arts which have no practical application (acrobatics, tightrope walking, professional athletics). Galen devotes a significant portion of his work to criticizing athletes (the athletic arts), arguing the pointlessness of professional sport. He provides notable examples of when such activities did not

²⁵ "άλλὰ τὸ τοιαύτην ἐπίστασθαι τέχνην ἢ καὶ ναυαγήσασι συνεκκολυμβήσει· ὅπερ οὕτε τοῖς διοικοῦσι τὰ τῶν πλουσίων οὕτε τοῖς τελώναις ἢ τοῖς ἐμπόροις ὑπάρχει" [14]. strengthen, but rather completely ruined the body, causing irreparable damage. Galen argues that athletic exercise does not lead to material well-being and makes the soul animal-like.

Thus, Galen comes to the following important conclusions. Man's special place in the natural world has to do with his inherent ability to reason and employ (logical) speech. Human reason is always opposed to his unconscious, instinctual nature, which can be modified by the process of thinking and the use of reason. Both of these natures are innate. Human reason demands evidence, the acquisition of which is possible through the capacity of human thought to make distinctions with the help of specific skills. The "innate" natures allow man to acquire true knowledge, based on reason, empirical observation, and the mastery of some kind of technical art. The theory proposed by Galen encouraged the practical application of the results of reasoning. Galen accepted only active reason as capable of uncovering the laws of nature. Therefore, there is every reason to believe that Galen's gnoseological model contains several components that are characteristic of the scientific model for understanding the material world.

REFERENCES

- Styopin V.S. Civilizacija i kul'tura. SPb.: Izd-vo SPbGUP (Civilization and culture.) [in Russian] St. Petersburg: Publ. SPbGUP, 2011. 384 p.
- Balalykin D.A. Zarozhdenie mediciny kak nauki v period do XVIII veka (The origin of medicine as a science in the period up to the 18th century) [in Russian]. Moscow: Litterra, 2013. 264 p.
- Balalykin D.A., Shcheglov A.P., Shok N.P. Galen: vrach i filosof (Galen: physician and philosopher) [in Russian]. M.: Vest, 2014. 416 p.
- 4. *Kuhn T.* The Structure of Scientific Revolutions. Trans. I.Z. Naletova [in Russian]. M., 1975. 208 p.
- Balalykin D.A. Religiozno-filosofskie sistemy i ikh znachenie dlya istorii meditsiny. Istoriâ mediciny (Religious and philosophical systems and their importance for the history of medicine. History of medicine) [in Russian] 2014. N 1. P. 9–26.
- Balalykin D.A., Shok N.P. Vzaimodopolnyayushchee razvitie naturfilosofii i meditsiny v Drevney Gretsii. Glavnyy vrach: khozyaystvo i pravo (The complementary development of natural philosophy and medicine in ancient Greece. Chief physician: enterprise and law) [in Russian] 2013. N 2. P. 47–52;
- 7. Balalykin D.A., Shok N.P., Shcheglov A.P. Sintez naturfilosofskikh osnovaniy ratsional'nogo znaniya

v anatomo-fiziologicheskoy sisteme Galena. Ch. I. Chelovecheskiy kapital (The synthesis of the natural-philosophical foundations of rational knowledge in Galen's anatomical and physiological system. Part I. Human Capital) [in Russian]. 2014. N 2(62). P. 112–121.

- Balalykin D.A., Shok N.P., Shcheglov A.P. Sintez naturfilosofskikh osnovaniy ratsional'nogo znaniya v anatomo-fiziologicheskoy sisteme Galena. Ch. II. Chelovecheskiy kapital (The synthesis of the naturalphilosophical foundations of rational knowledge in Galen's anatomical and physiological system. Part II. Human Capital) [in Russian] 2014. N 3(63). P. 120–134.
- Balalykin D.A., Shcheglov A.P., Shok N.P. Edinstvo filosofskoy teorii i meditsinskoy praktiki vo vzglyadakh Galena. Filosofiya nauki (The unity of philosophical theory and practice of medicine in the views of Galen. Philosophy of science) [in Russian] 2014. N 1(60). P. 70–85.
- Draper J. The History of the Conflict between Religion and Science. N.Y., 1874. P. 152–157.
- White A.D. A History of the Warfare of Science with Theology in Christendom. Reprinted by G. Braziller. N.Y., 1955. 1896. P. 89–98.
- 12. *Brooke J.H.* Science and religion: Some Historical Perspectives [in Russian]. M., 2004. 352 p.

- Russell C.A. Conflict of science and religion. The history of Science and religion in the Western Tradition: an encyclopedia. Ed. G.B. Ferngren. N.Y., 2000. P. 3–13.
- Adhortatio ad artes addiscendas, ed. E. Wenkebach. Protreptikosfragment, addiscendas, ed. E. Wenkebach, Naturwissenschaften und Medizin 4.3 (1935) 90–120. (Cod: 5,224: Med.).
- Θουκυδίδου Ιστορίαι. Ι–ΙΙ. 2η έκδ. Αθήνα: Βιβλιοπωλείον της Εστίας. (1η έκδ. Οξφόρδη: Oxford University Press). Μτφρ. Ε.Κ. Βενιζέλος. [1940] 1960.
- Temkin O. Galenism: Rise and Decline of a Medical Philosophy. Ithaca, London: Cornall University, 1973. 244 p.
- Pouchenie Kirilla Ierusalimskogo, XII–XIII vv. L. 62. (GIM, Sin., № 478) [Homily Cyril of Jerusalem, 12th-13th centuries. Leningrad. 62. (GIM, Sin., № 478)] (in Russian).
- Metaphysica. Ed. W.D. Ross. Aristotle's metaphysics, 2 vols. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1924 (repr. 1970 [of 1953 corr. edn.]): 1:980a21-1028a6; 2:1028a10-1093b29. (Cod: 80,635: Phil.).
- Shestodnev, XIVv. L. 70 ob. (RGADA, f. 381, №67 (Tip., № 175) [*Hexaemeron*, 14th century. p. 70v. (RGADA, f. 381, № 67 (Typ., № 175)] (in Russian).
- Sophista. Ed. J. Burnet. Platonis opera, vol. 1. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1900 (repr. 1967): St I.216a-268d. (Cod: 17,414: Dialog., Phil.)
- 21. Διογένης Λαέρτιος. Βίοι καὶ γνῶμαι τῶν ἐν φιλοσοφία εὐδοκιμησάντων. Oxford 1964. Βιβλίον Ζ' 42.
- Crito. Ed. J. Burnet. Platonis opera, vol. 1. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1900 (repr. 1967): St I.43a-54e. (Cod: 4,329: Dialog., Phil.).
- Politicus. Ed. J. Burnet. Platonis opera, vol. 1. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1900 (repr. 1967): St II.257a-311c. (Cod: 18,592: Dialog., Phil.)
- Grigoriya Bogoslova 16 slov s tolkovaniyami Nikity Irakliyskogo, XIV v. L. 34 (GIM, Sin., № 954) [Gregory of Nazianzus Oration 16 with commentary by Nikita Irakliisky, 14th century. p. 34 (GIM, Sin., № 954)] (in Russian).
- 25. *Sbornik*, XIV v. L. 238 (GIM, Uvar., № 589) [*Collection*, 14th century. p. 238 (GIM, Sin., № 589)] (in Russian).

- Lestvitsa, XIII v. L. 94ob. (NIOR RGB. Rum. № 199) [Ladder, 13th century. p. 94v. (SRDM RSL. Romanian. № 199)] (in Russian).
- 27. *Plato.* The Republic. Collection of essays in 4 vols. [in Russian]. Vol. 3. P. 264.
- Izbornik 1073. Biblioteka Literatury Drevney Rusi (Miscellany 1073. Library of Literature of Ancient Rus) [in Russian]. Vol. 2. St Pb., 2000.
- 29. *Said E. W.* Beginngs: Intention and method. N.Y., 1965. P. 414.
- Rukopisnyy sbornik XVII v. (Chastnoe sobr.). L. 53 ob. (*Manuscript collection of* the 17th century. (Private collection.). p. 53v.) [in Russian].
- 31. *Zhitie* prepodobnogo Efrosina (*Life* St. Euphrosyne) [in Russian] M., 1912. P. 712.
- 32. *Ioann Zlatoust*. Margarit (John Chrysostom. Marguerite) [in Russian]. M., 1641. P. 153.
- 33. *Makovelsky A.O.* Drevnegrecheskie atomisty (Ancient Greek Atomists) [in Russian]. Baku, 1946.
- Lurye S.Y. Demokrit i induktivnaya logika. Vestnik drevney istorii (Democritus and inductive logic. Journal of Ancient History) [in Russian]. 1961. N 4. P. 58–67.
- 35. Plutarkh. Zastol'nye besedy IX 3, 2, Mnasey v skholiyakh k Dionisiyu Frakiyskomu. Fragmenty rannikh grecheskikh filosofov. Ch. 1 (Table Talk 9 3, 2, Mnasey in scholia to Dionysius Thrax. Fragments of the early Greek philosophers. Part 1) [in Russian]. M., 1989.
- Berve G. Tirany Gretsii. Perevod s nem. Ryvkinoy O.E. (The Tyranny of the Greeks. Translated from the German) [in Russian]. Ryvkina Rostov-on-Don, 1997. P. 137–147.
- 37. Theater Euripides. Full verse translation of all Greek plays and fragments have come down to us under this name: In three volumes with two introductions, articles about specific plays, explanatory index and a image from an antique bust of Euripides I. F. Annensky. Vol. 1 [in Russian]. St. Pb., 1906. P. 479.
- Oppenheim A.L. Letters from Mesopotamia. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. 1967. P. 191–192.

Received: 18.04.14

About the authors

Balalykin D.A. – Doctor of Medical Sciences, Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Head of the Department of the History of Medicine, National History and Culturology, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University. E-mail: shok@nmt.msk.ru

Shcheglov A.P. – Doctor of Philosophy, Professor of the Department of the History of Medicine, National History and Culturology, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University.

E-mail: staropomor@yandex.ru

Shok N.P. – Ph.D. in Political Science, Associate Professor of the Department of the History of Medicine, National History and Culturology, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University E-mail: shokonat@list.ru

The name of the article for quotation: Philosophical points of rational knowledge in the theoretical and practical system of Galen (on the basis of the example of "Adhortatio ad artes addiscendas"). Istoriâ mediciny. 2014. N2 (2). P. 128–160.

APPENDIX

ΓΑΛΗΝΟΥ ΠΡΟΤΡΕΠΤΙΚΟΣ ΕΠ ΙΑΤΡΙΚΗΝ

Adhortatio ad artes addiscendas, ed. E. Wenkebach, Protreptikosfragment, addiscendas, ed. E. Wenkebach, Naturwissenschaften und Medizin 4.3 (1935) 90–120. (Cod: 5,224: Med.)

1. τοῦ νεῖν οὐκ ἀνάσκητός ἐστι Εἰ μὲν μηδ' ὅλως λόγου μέτεστι τοῖς ἀλόγοις όνομαζομένοις ζώοις ἄδηλόν ἐστιν. ἴσως γὰρ εἰ καὶ μὴ τοῦ κατὰ τὴν φωνήν, ὃν καὶ προφορικὸν όνομάζουσιν, άλλὰ τοῦ γε <κατὰ> τὴν ψυχήν, ὃν ἐνδιάθετον καλοῦσι, μετέχει πάντα, τὰ μὲν μαλλον τὰ δ' ἦττον. ὅτι μέντοι πλεῖστον ὅσον αὐτῶν διενηνόχασιν οἱ ἄνθρωποι, πρόδηλον ήμιν έστιν όρῶσι τό τε πληθος τῶν τεχνῶν ὧν μεταχειρίζεται τὸ ζῷον τοῦτο καὶ ὅτι μόνος άνθρωπος ἐπιστήμης ἐπιδεκτικὸς ἣν ἂν έθελήση τέχνην μανθάνει. τὰ μὲν γὰρ ἄλλα ζῷα σχεδὸν ἄτεχνα πάντ' ἐστὶ πλὴν ὀλίγων δή τινων έν όλίγοις· άλλὰ καὶ ταῦτα φύσει μᾶλλον η προαιρέσει τεχνῶν εὐτύχηκεν· ὁ δ' ἄνθρωπος οὔτε τινὸς τῶν παρ' ἐκείνοις ἀμελέτητος, εἴ γε καὶ τὴν ὑφαντικὴν ἐμιμήσατο τὰς ἀράχνας καὶ πλάττει καθάπερ αἱ μέλισσαι καὶ καίτοι πεζός ὤν, ἀλλὰ καὶ τῶν θείων τεχνῶν οὐκ ἀπολείπεται, ζηλῶν μὲν τὴν ᾿Ασκληπιοῦ τέχνην ἰατρικήν, ζηλῶν δ' Ἀπόλλωνος αὐτήν τε ταύτην καὶ τὰς ἄλλας ἁπάσας ἃς ἔχει, τοξικὴν μουσικήν μαντικήν, ἔτι τε τῶν Μουσῶν τὴν έκάστης ίδίαν. οὐδὲ γὰρ γεωμετρίας οὐδ' άστρονομίας ἀφίσταται, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὰ τῆς γῆς νέρθεν καὶ τὰ ὕπερθε τοῦ οὐρανοῦ κατὰ Πίνδαρον ἐπισκοπεῖ. ἐξεπορίσατο δ' ὑπὸ φιλοπονίας και το μέγιστον τῶν θείων ἀγαθῶν φιλοσοφίαν. διὰ ταῦτα τοίνυν <εί> καὶ λόγου μέτεστι τοῖς ἄλλοις ζώοις, κατ' ἐξοχὴν αὖθις πάλιν δ ἄνθρωπος μόνος όνομάζεται λογικός.

2. πῶς οὖν οὐκ αἰσχρόν, ῷ μόνῳ τῶν ἐν ἡμῖν κοινωνοῦμεν θεοῖς, τούτου μὲν ἀμελεῖν, ἐσπουδακέναι δὲ περί τι τῶν ἄλλων, τέχνης μὲν ἀναλήψεως καταφρονοῦντα, Τύχῃ δ' ἑαυτὸν ἐπιτρέποντα· ἦς τὴν μοχθηρίαν ἐμφανίσαι βουληθέντες οἱ παλαιοὶ γράφοντες καὶ πλάττοντες αὐτὴν οὐ μόνον ἐν εἴδει γυναικὸς ἠρκέσθησαν (καίτοι <καὶ> τοῦθ' ἰκανὸν ἦν ἀνοίας σύμβολον) ἀλλὰ καὶ πηδάλιον ἔδοσαν ἐν χεροῖνἔχειν αὐτῇ καὶ τοῖν ποδοῖν ὑπέθεσαν βάσιν

σφαιρικήν, έστέρησαν δὲ καὶ τοῖν ὀφθαλμοῖν, ένδειχνύμενοι διὰ τούτων ἁπάντων τὸ τῆς τύχης ἄστατον. ὥσπερ οὖν ἐν νηὶ χειμαζομένη σφοδρῶς, ὡς ἐπικλύζεσθαί τε τοῖς κύμασι καὶ κινδυνεύειν βυθισθῆναι, μοχθηρῶς ἄν τις πράξειεν ἐπιτρέψας τὰ πηδάλια κυβερνήτῃ τυφλῶ, κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν οἶμαι τρόπον κάν τῶ βίω, μειζόνων ναυαγιῶν περὶ πολλοὺς οἴκους γιγνομένων ἢ περὶ τὰ σκάφη κατὰ θάλατταν, οὐκ όρθῶς γιγνώσκοντός ἐστιν ἐπιτρέπειν ἑαυτὸν ἐν τοιαύταις περιστάσεσι πραγμάτων τυφλη δαίμονι μηδ' αὐτῇ βεβαίως ἐστηριγμένῃ. ἔμπληκτός τε γάρ έστι καὶ ἄνους εἰς τοσοῦτον ὡς πολλάκις τοὺς άξιωτάτους άνδρας παρερχομένη πλουτίζειν τοὺς ἀναξίους, οὐδὲ τούτους βεβαίως ἀλλ' ἕως ἂν <αὐτ>ῆ δοκῆ πάλιν αὐτῶν ἀφαιρεῖσθαι τὰ δοθέντα. ταύτη τῆ δαίμονι πλῆθος ἀνδρῶν άμαθῶν οὐκ ὀλίγον ἕπεται μηδέποτ' ἐν ταὐτῷ μενούση διὰ τὸ τῆς βάσεως εὐμετακύλιστον, ἥτις αὐτὴν ἄγει καὶ φέρει καὶ κατὰ κρημνῶν ἐνίστε καὶ θαλάττης· ἔνθα συναπόλλυνται μὲν ἀλλήλοις έπόμενοι πάντες αὐτῃ, μόνη δ' ἀβλαβὴς ἐκείνη διεξέρχεται καταγελῶσα τῶν ὀλοφυρομένων τε καὶ ἐγκαλούντων αὐ<τῇ μά>την ὅτ' οὐδὲν ὄφελος.

3. τὰ μὲν δὴ τῆς Τύχης ἔργα τοιαῦτα· τόν δ' Έρμην άτε λόγου μέν ὄντα δεσπότην έργάτην δὲ τέχνης ἁπάσης θέασαι πάλιν ὅπως έξ ὑπεναντίου τῆ Τύχῃ κεκοσμήκασιν <οί> παλαιοί γράφοντές τε καί πλάττοντες· νεανίσκος έστιν ώραιος, ούκ έπικτητον ούδε κομμωτικόν έχων κάλλος, άλλ' εύθύς ὥστε συνεμφαίνεσθαι τὴν τῆς ψυχῆς ἀρετὴν δι' αὐτοῦ. ἐστὶ δὲ φαιδρὸς μέν τὰς ὄψεις, δέδορκε δὲ δριμύ, καὶ ἡ βάσις τὸ πάντων σχημάτων ἑδραιότατόν τε καὶ ἀμεταπτωτότατον ἔχει τὸν κύβον. ἔσθ' ὅτε δὲ καὶ αὐτὸν τὸν θεὸν τούτῷ τῷ σχήματι κοσμοῦσιν. ίδοις δ' ἂν τοὺς θιασώτας αὐτοῦ φαιδροὺς μὲν όμοίως τῷ καθηγουμένω θεῷ, μεμφομένους δ' οὐδέποτ' αὐτὸν ὥσπερ οἱ τὴν Τύχην, οὐδ' άπολειπομένους ποτ' οὐδὲ χωριζομένους, ἀλλ' έπομένους τε καὶ διὰ παντὸς ἀπολαύοντας τῆς προνοίας αὐτοῦ

4. τοὺς δέ γε τῆ Τύχη συνεπομένους άπαντας μέν ἀργοὺς θεάσῃ καὶ τεχνῶν ἀμαθεῖς, όχουμένους δ' έπ' έλπίδων ἀεὶ καὶ θεούσῃ τῇ δαίμονι συνθέοντας, ένίους μέν έγγὺς ένίους δὲ πορρωτέρω, τινὰς δὲ καὶ τῆς χειρὸς αὐτῆς έξημμένους. έν τούτοις άπασι καὶ τὸν Κροῖσον έχεινον τον Λυδόν όψει και τον Πολυχράτην τὸν Σάμιον, καὶ ἴσως θαυμάσεις τῷ μὲν τὸν Πακτωλὸν ἰδὼν ῥέοντα χρυσόν, τῷ δὲ καὶ τοὺς θαλαττίους ύπηρετοῦντας ἰχθῦς. μετὰ τούτων δὲ καὶ Κῦρον θεάσῃ καὶ Πρίαμον καὶ Διονύσιον. άλλὰ τούτων αὐτῶν ὀλίγον ὕστερον ὄψει Πολυκράτην μέν άνεσταυρωμένον, ὑπὸ Κύρω δὲ Κροῖσον, αὐτὸν δὲ τὸν Κῦρον ὑπ' ἄλλοις. ὄψει δὲ καὶ Πρίαμον καθηρημένον καὶ Διονύσιον ἐν Κορίνθω. εί δὲ καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους ἐπισκέψαιο τοὺς άπωτέρω μέν αὐτὴν θέουσαν διώκοντας, οὐ μήν τυχόντας γε, μισήσεις όλον τὸν χορόν. εἰσὶ <μέν> γὰρ ἐνταῦθα καὶ δημαγωγοὶ πολλοὶ καὶ έταῖραι καὶ πόρνοι καὶ προδόται φίλων, εἰσὶ δὲ καὶ φονεῖς καὶ τυμβωρύχοι καὶ ἄρπαγες ἄλλοι τέ <τινες καὶ οἱ> μηδὲ τῶν θεῶν

αὐτῶν πεφεισμένοι, ἀλλὰ καὶ τούτων <τὰ> ἱερὰ συλήσαντες.

5. δ δ' ἕτερος τῶν χορῶν πάντες μὲν κόσμιοι καὶ τεχνῶν ἐργάται, οὐ θέουσι δὲ οὐδὲ βοῶσιν ούδ' άλλήλοις μάχονται άλλ' έν μέσοις μέν αὐτοῖς ὁ θεός, ἀμφ' αὐτὸν δ' ἄπαντες ἐν τάξει κεκόσμηνται, χώραν ἕκαστος ἣν ἐκεῖνος ἔδωκεν ούκ απολείποντες· οί μέν ἔγγιστα τῷ θεῷ καὶ περί αὐτὸν ἐν κύκλω κεκοσμημένοι γεωμέτραι καὶ ἀριθμητικοὶ καὶ φιλόσοφοι καὶ ἰατροὶ καὶ ἀστρονόμοι καὶ γραμματικοί, τούτων δ' έφεξῆς ὁ δεύτερος κόσμος ζωγράφοι πλάσται γραμματισταί, τέκτονές τε καὶ ἀρχιτέκτονες καὶ λιθογλύφοι, καὶ μετ' αὐτοὺς δ' ἡ τρίτη τάξις, αί λοιπαί τέχναι πᾶσαι. κατὰ μέρη μὲν ούτω κεκόσμηνται· πάντες δὲ πρὸς τὸν θεὸν άποβλέπουσι κοινῷ τῷ παρ' αὐτοῦ προστάγματι πειθόμενοι. θεάση δὲ κάνταῦθα πολλοὺς μετ' αὐτοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ, τετάρτην δή τινα τάξιν ἀπὸ τῶν ἄλλων ἕκκριτον, οὐχ οἶοί τινες ἦσαν οἱ μετὰ τῆς Τύχης· οὐ γὰρ ἀξιώμασι πολιτικοῖς οὐδὲ γένους ύπεροχαῖς οὐδὲ πλούτω τοὺς ἀρίστους ό θεός ούτος εἴθισται κρίνειν, ἀλλὰ τοὺς καλῶς μὲν βιοῦντας, ἐν δὲ ταῖς ἑαυτῶν τέχναις

πρωτεύοντας, ἑπομένους δὲ τοῖς προστάγμασιν αὐτοῦ καὶ νομίμως τὰς τέχνας ἐργαζομένους τιμα τε καί πρό των άλλων άγει, περί αύτον έχων ἀεί. τοῦτον <μέν οὖν ὅλον> οἶμαι τὸν χορόν νοήσας όποῖός ἐστιν οὐ μόνον ζηλώσεις άλλὰ καὶ προσκυνήσεις. Σωκράτης <γάρ> ἐστιν έν αὐτῶ καὶ "Ομηρος καὶ Ἱπποκράτης καὶ Πλάτων καὶ οἱ τούτων ἐρασταί, οῦς ἴσα καὶ τοῖς θεοῖς σέβομεν, οἶον ὕπαρχοί τινες καὶ ὑπηρέται τοῦ θεοῦ. <καὶ>τῶν δ' ἄλλων ἑπάντων οὐκ ἔστιν δστις ήμελήθη ποτὲ πρὸς αὐτοῦ· οὐ μόνον γὰρ όδοιπορούντων <αὐτῶν> πεφρόντιχεν ἀλλὰ καὶ πλέουσι σύμπλους ἐστὶ καὶ ναυαγούντων ούκ απολείπεται. 'Αρίστιππος γοῦν ἐπειδή ποτε πλέων τοῦ σκάφους ἀπολομένου πρὸς τὰς Συραχοσίων ἠόνας ἐξεβράσθη, πρῶτον μέν έθάρρησε θεασάμενος έπὶ τῆς ψάμμου διάγραμμα γεωμετρικόν έλογίσατο γάρ είς Έλληνάς τε καὶ σοφοὺς ἄνδρας, οὐκ εἰς βαρβάρους ἥκειν. ἔπειτα παραγενόμενος εἰς τὸ Συρακοσίων γυμνάσιον καὶ ταυτὶ τὰ ἔπη φθεγξάμενος 'τίς τὸν πλανήτην Οἰδίπουν καθ' ήμέραν την νῦν σπανιστοῖς δέξεται δωρήμασι;' ροσιόντας τέ τινας ἔσχεν αὑτῷ καὶ γνωρίζοντας όστις είη καὶ πάντων ὧν ἐδεῖτο μεταδιδόντας εύθέως. ὡς δ' εἰς Κυρήνην αὐτοῦ τὴν πατρίδα μέλλοντές τινες πλεῖν ἐπυνθάνοντο, μή τι τοῖς οἰκείοις ἐπιστέλλει, κελεύειν αὐτοὺς ἔφη ταῦτα κτᾶσθαι τὰ κτήματα ἃ καὶ ναυαγήσαντι συνεκκολυμβήσει.

6. πολλοί δὲ τῶν κακοδαιμόνων καὶ πάντα πρός τὸν πλοῦτον ἀποβλεπόντων ἐν τοιαύταις πραγμάτων περιστάσεσι γενόμενοι χρυσόν η άργυρον έξαψάμενοί τε καὶ περιθέμενοι τῷ σώματι προσαπώλεσαν αὐτοῖς καὶ τὴν ψυχήν, ούδε τοῦτο δυνάμενοι συνιδεῖν ὅτι καὶ τῶν ἀλόγων ζώων αὐτοὶ πρῶτοι τὰ ταῖς <χρηστοτάταις> τέχναις κεκοσμημένα μαλλον ασπάζονται. καί γὰρ τοὺς πολεμικοὺς ἵππους καὶ τοὺς θηρατικοὺς κύνας πρό τῶν ἄλλων τιμῶσι καὶ τοὺς μὲν οἰκέτας έκδιδάσκονται τέχνας, πάμπολυ πολλάκις είς αὐτοὺς ἀργύριον ἀναλίσκοντες, ἑαυτῶν δ' άμελοῦσι. καίτοι γε οὐκ αἰσχρὸν οἰκέτην μὲν ένίστε δραχμῶν εἶναι μυρίων ἄξιον, αὐτὸν δὲ τὸν δεσπότην αὐτοῦ μηδὲ μιᾶς; καὶ τί λέγω μιᾶς; οὐδ' ἂν προῖκά τις τὸν τοιοῦτον λάβοι. μήποθ' έαυτοὺς μόνους ἠτιμάκασιν ἐκ πάντων μηδεμίαν έκμαθόντες τέχνην; ὅταν γὰρ καὶ τὰ ἄλογα τῶν ζώων έν τεχνικοῖς ἐπιτηδεύμασι παιδεύωσι καὶ οἰκέτην ἀργὸν καὶ ἄτεχνον οὐδενὸς ἄξιον νομίζωσιν, ἐπιμελῶνται δὲ καὶ τῶν χωρίων καὶ τῶν ἄλλων κτημάτων ὅπως ἕκαστον εἰς δύναμιν ότι βέλτιστον ἦ, μόνων δ' ἑαυτῶν ἀμελῶσι, μηδ' εί ψυχὴν ἔχουσι γιγνώσκοντες, εὔδηλον ὅτι τοῖς άποβλήτοις τῶν οἰκετῶν ἐοίκασιν. ὥστε τις έπιστὰς ἀνδρὶ τοιούτω προσηχόντως ἂν εἴποι πρός αὐτὸν 'ὦ ἄνθρωπ', ἡ οἰκία μέν σοί <γ'> εύεκτεῖ καὶ τἀνδράποδα πάντα καὶ ἵπποι δὴ καὶ κύνες καὶ γωρία καὶ ὄσα κέκτησαι διάκειται καλῶς· 'αὐτὸν δὲ σὲ οὐκ ἀγαθὴ κομιδὴ ἔχει.'' καλῶς οὖν καὶ ὁ ἀΑντισθένης καὶ ὁ Διογένης, ὃ μέν χρυσᾶ πρόβατα καλῶν τοὺς πλουσίους καὶ άπαιδεύτους, δ δὲ ταῖς ἐπὶ τῶν κρημνῶν συκαῖς άπεικάζων αὐτούς ἐκείνων τε γὰρ τὸν καρπὸν ούκ άνθρώπους άλλὰ κόρακας η κολοιούς έσθίειν, τούτων τε τὰ χρήματα μηδέν μέν ὄφελος είναι τοῖς ἀστείοις, δαπανᾶσθαι δ' ὑπὸ τῶν κολάκων, οἴτινες ἐὰν οὕτως τύχῃ πάντων αὐτοῖς ἀναλωθέντων ἀπαντῶντες παρέρχονται μή γνωρίζειν προσποιούμενοι. ὄθεν οὐδ' ὁ ταῖς κρήναις τοὺς τοιούτους εἰκάσας ἄμουσός τις ἦν∙καὶ γάρ τοι καὶ οἱ ἀπὸ τῶν κρηνῶν ὑδρευμένοι ὕδωρ, πρόσθεν, έπειδὰν μηκέτ' ἔχωσιν άνασυράμενοι προσουροῦσι. καὶ ἐστὶν εὔλογον τοὺς διὰ μηδὲν ἄλλ' ἢ τὰ χρήματα περιβλέπτους ἅμα τῷ στερηθῆναι τούτων εὐθὺς καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ά διὰ ταῦτ' εἶχον ἐστερῆσθαι. τί γὰρ ἂν καὶ πάθοιεν, ίδιον μέν οὐδέν ἀγαθόν κεκτημένοι, ἀεὶ δ' ἐπ' ἀλλοτρίοις καὶ τοῖς παρὰ τῆς Τύχης έπαιρόμενοι;

7. τοιοῦτοι δέ τινές εἰσι καὶ οἱ τὴν εὐγένειαν προβαλλόμενοι καὶ φρονοῦντες ἐπ' αὐτῇ μέγα· καὶ γὰρ καὶ οὖτοι σπάνει τῶν ἰδίων ἀγαθῶν ἐπὶ τὸ γένος <ἀνατρ>έχουσιν, οὐδὲ τοσοῦτον γιγνώσκοντες ὡς ἡ ἀξία <τῆς>ἑαυτῶν εὐγενείας ἐφ' ἦ σεμνύνονται τοῖς κατὰ πόλιν νομίσμασιν ἔοικεν, ἂ παρὰ τοῖς θεμένοις ἰσχύοντα παρ' ἄλλοις ἐστὶ κίβδηλα. '— οὐδ' ηὑγένεια σ' ἦρεν εἰς ὕψος μέγα; — κακὸν τὸ μὴ ἔχειν· τὸ γένος οὐκ ἔβοσκέ με.' καλὸς οὖν, εὖ φησιν ὁ Πλάτων, θησαυρὸς αἱ τῶν πατέρων

ἀρεταί· κάλλιον δ' ἀντιθεῖναι δύνασθαι τὸν τοῦ Σθενέλου λόγον 'ἡμεῖς τοι πατέρων μέγ' ἀμείνονες εὐχόμεθ' εἶναι.' ταύτην γὰρ μόνην ἔχοιμεν ἄν, εἰ δή τίς ἐστιν εὐγενείας χρεία, πρὸς οἰκεῖον παράδειγμα τὸν ζῆλον ἡμῖν γίγνεσθαι· ὡς, εἴ γε κατὰ πολὺ τῆς τῶν προγόνων ἀρετῆς ἀπολειπόμεθα, λύπη μὲν ἂν εἴη κἀκείνοις, εἴ τις

αὐτοῖς ἐστιν αἴσθησις, αἰσχύνη δ' ἡμῖν αὐτοῖς τοσῶδεμείζων ὄσω καὶ τὸ γένος περιφανέστερον. οί μέν γὰρ ἀγνῶτες παντάπασι <καί> ἄσημοι τῷ γένει τοῦτο γοῦν αὐτὸ κερδαίνουσι τὸ μή γιγνώσκεσθαι τοῖς πολλοῖς ὁποῖοί τινές είσιν όσοις δὲ τὸ λαμπρὸν καὶ διάσημον τοῦ γένους οὐδὲ τοῦ λαθεῖν ἐξουσίαν δίδωσι, τί άλλο ή περιφανέστερον οὗτοι δυστυχοῦσιν; καὶ μέν γε καὶ καταγιγνώσκονται μᾶλλον οἱ άνάξιοι τοῦ γένους, ὥστ', εἰ καί τις ήλίθιος ών ὑπομιμνήσκοι τὸ λαμπρὸν τοῦ γένους, άσυγγνωστότερον ἑαυτῷ τὸ κακὸν ἀποφαίνει. ού γὰρ ὁμοίως ἐξετάζομέν τε καὶ βασανίζομεν τοὺς ἐπιτυχόντας ἀνθρώπους τοῖς τῷ γένει λαμπροῖς, ἀλλὰ τοὺς μέν, κἂν μέτριοί τινες ὦσιν, ἀποδεχόμεθα τῇ δυσγενεία τῆς ἐνδείας τὴν αἰτίαν ἀναφέροντες, τοὺς δ' εἰ μηδὲν τῶν προγόνων άξιον έχοιεν οὐδέπω θαυμάζομεν, ἂν καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἁπάντων πολὺ διαφέρωσιν. ώστ' εἴ τις εὖ φρονεῖ, τέχνης ἀσκήσει προσίτω, δι' ην καν εύγενης ή τοῦ γένους οὐκ ἀνάξιος φανεῖται, κἂν μὴ τοιοῦτος ὑπάρχῃ τὸ γένος αὐτὸς ἐπικοσμήσει, μιμησάμενος τὸν παλαιὸν έκεινον Θεμιστοκλέα, δς όνειδιζόμενος έπι τῷ γένει 'άλλ' έγώ τοῖς <έ>μοῖς αὐτός, ἔφη, τοῦ γένους ἄρξω, και τὸ μὲν ἐμὸν ἀπ' ἐμοῦ γένος άρξεται, τὸ δὲ σὸν εἰς σὲ τελευτήσει.' <ἶρ' οὖν οὐχ> ὁρᾶς ὡς οὐδὲν κωλύει τὸν Σκύθην 'Ανάχαρσιν καί θαυμάζεσθαι δή καί σοφόν όνομάζεσθαι; καίτοι βάρβαρος ἦν τὸ γένος. οὗτός ποτε πρός τινος ὀνειδιζόμενος ὅτι βάρβαρος εἴη καὶ Σκύθης 'ἐμοὶ μέν, <εἶπεν>, ἡ πατρίς ὄνειδος, σὺ δὲ τῇ πατρίδι,' πάνυ καλῶς έπιπλήξας τῷ μηδενὸς ἀξίω λόγου, μόνον δ' έπὶ τῇ πατρίδι σεμνυνομένω. εἰ γὰρ ἐπιστήσαις τοῖς πράγμασι τὸν νοῦν, οὐ διὰ τὰς πόλεις εύροις ἂν ἐν δόξη τοὺς πολίτας γιγνομένους, άλλ' αὐτὸ δὴ τοὐναντίον διὰ τοὺς ἀγαθοὺς άνδρας ἐν ταῖς τέχναις καὶ τὰς πατρίδας αὐτῶν μνημονευομένας. τίς γὰρ <ἂν> ἦν Σταγίρων λόγος, εἰ μὴ δι' ᾿Αριστοτέλην, τίς δ' ἂν Σόλων, εί μὴ δι' "Αρατόν τε καὶ Χρύσιππον; ὅπου καὶ τῶν ᾿Αθηνῶν αὐτῶν μέχρι πόρρω τοὔνομα πεφοίτηκεν οὐ διὰ τὴν τῆς γῆς ἀρετήνλεπτόγεως γάρ— ἀλλὰ διὰ τοὺς ἐν αὐτῃ φύντας, οἳ πλεῖστοί τε καὶ ἄριστοι γενόμενοι μετέδοσάν τι καὶ τῇ πατρίδι τοῦ σφετέρου κλέους. μάθοις δ' ἂν ἐναργέστατα τὸ τοιοῦτον ἀληθὲς ὄν, εἰ τὸν Υπέρβολον ή τὸν Κλέωνα λογίσαιο, τοσοῦτον άπὸ τῶν ᾿Αθηνῶν κερδήσαντας ὅσον ἀν τις εἰς περιφάνειαν < κακός τ' ὢν κἀκ> κακῶν ἐπικτήσαιτο. 'ἦν ὅτε σύας Βοιώτιον ἔθνος ἔνεπον' ὁ Πίνδαρός φησι, καὶ πάλιν ὁ αὐτὸς 'εἰ φεύγομεν Βοιωτίαν ὖν', ἀξιῶν ὅλου σχεδὸν ἔθνους τὸν ἐπ' ἀμαθεία ψόγον ἀπολύεσθαι διὰ τὴν ἑαυτοῦ μουσικήν.

8. ἐπαινέσειε δ' ἄν τις καὶ τὸν ᾿Αθήνησι νομοθέτην, ὃς τὸν μὴ διδάξαντα τέχνην έκώλυε πρός τοῦ παιδός τρέφεσθαι. τέχνης <γὰρ> ἁπάσης κατ' ἐκεῖνον μάλιστα τὸν χρόνον ἀσκουμένης ἡνίχ' ὡραιότατα φαίνεται τὰ σώματα, πολλοῖς συνέβη περιβλέπτοις διὰ κάλλος γεγενημένοις ἀμελῆσαι τῆς ἑαυτῶν ψυχῆς, εἶθ' ὕστερον ὅτ' οὐδὲν ὄφελος λέγειν 'εἴθ' ὤφελεν τὸ κάλλος, ὅ με διώλεσε,κακῶς όλέσθαι'. τηνικαῦτα δ' αὐτοὺς καὶ τὸ τοῦ Σόλωνος εἰσέρχεται σχοπεῖσθαι χελεύοντος ἐν τοῖς μάλιστα τὸ τέλος τοῦ βίου. εἶτα καὶ τῷ γήρα λοιδοροῦνται, δέον ἑαυτοῖς, καὶ τὸν Εὐριπίδην έπαινοῦσι λέγοντα 'οὐ γὰρ ὠφελήσιμον περαιτέρω τὸ κάλλος ή μέσον λαβεῖν'. άμεινον οὖν ἐστιν ἐγνωκότας τὴν μὲν τῶν μειρακίων ώραν τοῖς ἠρινοῖς ἄνθεσιν ἐοικυῖαν όλιγοχρόνιόν τε τὴν τέρψιν ἔχουσαν ἐπαινεῖν τε καὶ <ἐπαίρειν> τὴν Λεσβίαν λέγουσαν 'ὁ μὲν γὰρ καλὸς ὅσσον ἰδεῖν πέλεται <καλός>, ὁ δὲ κάγαθὸς αὐτίκα καὶ καλὸς ἔσται,' πείθεσθαι δὲ καὶ Σόλωνι τὴν αὐτὴν γνώμην ἐνδεικνυμένω, <μεμαθηκότας έν τοῦ βίου> τέλει γῆρας καθάπερ χαλεπόν έφεδρεῦον[τα] χειμῶνα, δεόμενον ούχ ὑποδημάτων μόνον καὶ ἐσθῆτος άλλὰ καὶ οἰκήσεως ἐπιτηδείας καὶ μυρίων άλλων, παρασκευάζεσθαι πρός αὐτὸ καθάπερ άγαθόν κυβερνήτην έκ πολλοῦ πρός χειμῶνα· μοχθηρόν γάρ τὸ 'ῥεχθὲν δέ τε νήπιος ἔγνω'. πρός τί γὰρ ἂν καὶ φαίη τις εἶναι χρήσιμον ἀνάσκητον νέου κάλλος; ἆρά γ' εἰς πόλεμον; καὶ μὴν οὐκ <ἂν> ἀστόχως τις ἐπιφωνήσειε τοῖς τοιούτοις 'άλλὰ σύ γ' ἱμερόεντα μετέρχεο ἔργα γάμοιο' <ή> 'ἀλλ' εἰς οἶκον ἰοῦσα τὰ σ' αὐτῆς έργα κόμιζε'. καὶ γὰρ ὁ Νιρεὺς 'κάλλιστος μὲν ἀνὴρ ὑπὸ ἴΙλιον ἦλθεν, ἀλλ' ἀλαπαδνὸς ἔην', καὶ διὰ τοῦθ' ἄπαξ αὐτοῦ μόνον ἐμνημόνευσεν Ομηρος έν νεῶν καταλόγω πρὸς ἐπίδειξιν, έμοι δοκείν, τῆς τῶν καλλίστων ἀνδρῶν άχρηστίας, ὅταν αὐτοῖς ὑπάρχη μηδὲν ἄλλο τῶν εἰς τὸν βίον χρησίμων. οὐδὲ μὴν εἰς πόρον χρημάτων, εἴ τινες τῶν κακοδαιμόνων λέγειν τολμῶσι, τὸ κάλλος ἐστὶ χρήσιμον. ὁ μὲν

γὰρ ἐλευθέριός τε καὶ ἔνδοξος καὶ βέβαιος χρηματισμὸς ἀπὸ τέχνης γίγνεσθαι πέφυκεν, ὁ δ' ἀπὸ σώματος καὶ κάλλους αἰσχρός ἐστι καὶ διὰ παντὸς ἑπονείδιστος. χρὴ τοίνυν τὸν νέον πειθόμενον τῷ παλαιῷ παραγγέλματι τὴν ἑαυτοῦ μορφὴν ἐν κατόπτρῳ θεασάμενον, εἰ μὲν καλὸς εἶη τὴν ὄψιν, ἀσκῆσαι τοιαύτην εἶναι καὶ τὴν ψυχήν, ἄτοπον ἡγησάμενον ἐν καλῷ σώματι ψυχὴν αἰσχρὰν οἰκεῖν, εἰ δ' αἰσχρὸς αὑτῷ φαίνοιτο τὴν τοῦ σώματος ἰδέαν εἶναι, τοσῷδε μᾶλλον ἐπιμεληθῆναι τῆς ψυχῆς, ἵν' ἔχῃ λέγειν τὸ Ὁμηρικόν 'ἄλλος <μὲν> γάρ τ' εἶδος ἀκιδνότερος πέλει ἀνήρ·

άλλὰ θεὸς μορφὴν ἔπεσι στέφει, οἳ δέ τ' ἐς αὐτὸν

τερπόμενοι λεύσσουσιν· δ δ' ἀσφαλέως ἀγορεύει

αίδοῖ μειλιχίῃ, μετὰ δὲ πρέπει ἀγρομένοισιν·

έρχόμενον δ' άνὰ ἄστυ θεὸν ὡς εἰσορόωσιν.'

έξ ἁπάντων τοίνυν τῶν εἰρημένων τοῖς γε μή παντάπασιν άνοήτοις έναργῶς φαίνεται μήτ' ἐπὶ γένους λαμπρότητι μήτ' ἐπὶ πλούτω τε καὶ κάλλει θαρρήσαντας καταφρονῆσαι τέχνης άσκήσεως. αὐτάρκη μὲν οὖν καὶ ταῦτα· κάλλιον δ' ίσως προσθειναι και τὸ τοῦ Διογένους οἶον άγαθόν τινα ἐπωδόν ὅς <γ'> ἑστιώμενος παρά τινι τῶν μὲν ἑαυτοῦ πάντων ἀκριβῶς προνενοημένω, μόνου δ' ἑαυτοῦ παντάπασιν ήμεληκότι, χρεμψάμενος ώς πτύσων είτ' έν κύκλω περισκοπήσας είς οὐδὲν μὲν τῶν πέριξ ἔπτυσεν, αὐτῷ δὲ μόνῳ προσέπτυσε τῷ δεσπότῃ τῆς οἰκίας. ἀγανακτοῦντος δ' αὐτοῦ καὶ τὴν αίτίαν έρωτῶντος οὐδὲν ἔφησεν ὁρᾶν οὕτως ήμελημένον τῶν κατὰ τὴν οἰκίαν ὡς ἐκεῖνον. τούς γὰρ τοίχους ἅπαντας ἀξιολόγοις γραφαῖς κεκοσμῆσθαι, τὸ δ' ἔδαφος ἐκ ψήφων πολυτελῶν συγκείσθαι θεῶν εἰκόνας ἔχον <θ>αυμαστῶς διατετυπωμένας, ἄπαντά <τε> τὰ σχεύη λαμπρά και καθαρά και τάς στρωμνάς και τάς κλίνας εἰς κάλλος ἐξησκῆσθαι, μόνον δ' ἐκεῖνον όρᾶν ἠμελημένον· εἰθίσθαι δ' ἄπασιν ἀνθρώποις είς τοὺς ἀτιμοτάτους τῶν παρόντων τόπων άποπτύειν. μὴ τοίνυν ἐάσῃς, ὦ μειράχιον, ἄξιον τοῦ προσπτύεσθαι γενέσθαι σεαυτόν, μηδ' ἂν άπαντά σοι τάλλα κάλλιστα διακεῖσθαι δοκῆ. σπάνιον μέν γάρ έστι καὶ τὸ τυχεῖν αὐτῶν ὁμοῦ πάντων, ώς εύγενῆ τε καὶ πλούσιον εἶναι καὶ καλὸν ἅμα τὸν αὐτόν. εἰ δ' ἄρα καὶ συνέλθοι ποτέ, δεινόν ἂν εἴη μόνον ἐν ἄπασι τοῖς ύπάρχουσιν αὐτὸν κατάπτυστον εἶναι

9. άγετ' οὖν, ὦ παῖδες, ὑπόσοι τῶν έμῶν ἀχηχοότες λόγων ἐπὶ τέχνης μάθησιν ώρμησθε· μή τις ύμᾶς ἀπατεών καὶ γόης ἀνὴρ παρακρουσάμενός ποτε ματαιοτεχνίαν 'n κακοτεχνίαν ἐκδιδάξηται, γιγνώσκοντας ώς όπόσοις τῶν ἐπιτηδευμάτων οὔκ ἐστι τὸ τέλος βιωφελές, ταῦτ' οὐκ εἰσὶ τέγναι, καὶ περὶ μὲν τῶν άλλων ύμας και πάνυ πέποιθα γιγνώσκειν ότι μηδέν τούτων έστι τέχνη, οἶον τό τε πετευρίζειν καὶ βαδίζειν ἐπὶ σχοινίων λεπτῶν ἐν κύκλω τε περιδινεῖσθαι μή σκοτούμενον <ή τινα τῆς μικροτεχνίας αποτελέσματα τεχνιτεύειν> οἶα τὰ Μυρμηκίδου τοῦ ᾿Αθηναίου καὶ Καλλικράτους Λακεδαιμονίου. τὸ δὲ τῶν ἀθλητῶν τοῦ έπιτήδευμα μόνον ύποπτεύω, μή ποτ' άρα τοῦτο καὶ ῥώμην σώματος ἐπαγγελλόμενον καὶ τὴν παρὰ τοῖς πολλοῖς δόξαν ἐπαγόμενον, <καὶ μάλιστα> δημοσία παρά τοῖς πατράσι τετιμημένον ήμερησίαις άργυρίου δόσεσι και όλως ίσα τοῖς άριστεῦσι τετι[μη]μένον, ἐξαπατήσῃ τινὰ τῶν νέων ώς προκριθηναί τινος τέχνης. ὄθεν ἄμεινον προδιασκέψασθαι περί αὐτοῦ· εὐεξαπάτητος γὰρ ἕκαστος ἐν οἶς ἐστιν ἀπρόσκεπτος. τὸ δὴ τῶν άνθρώπων γένος, ὦ παῖδες, ἐπικοινωνεῖ θεοῖς τε καὶ τοῖς ἀλόγοις ζώοις, τοῖς μὲν καθ' ὅσον λογικόν έστι, τοῖς δὲ καθ' ὄσον θνητόν. βέλτιον οὖν ἐστι τῆς πρὸς τὰ κρείττονα κοινωνίας αἰσθανόμενον έπιμελήσασθαι παιδείας, ἧς τυχόντες μέν τὸ μέγιστον τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἕξομεν, ἀποτυχόντες δ' οὐκ αἰσχυνούμεθα τῶν ἀνοήτων ζώων ἐλαττούμενοι. σώματος δ' άσκησις άθλητική άποτυγχανομένη μεναίσχίστη, επιτυγχανομένη δε τῶν ἀλόγωνζώων οὐδέπω κρείττων. τίς γὰρ λεόντων ἢ ἐλεφάντων άλκιμώτερος, τίς δ' ώκύτερος λαγωοῦ; τίς δ' οὐκ οἶδεν, ὡς καὶ τοὺς θεοὺς δι' οὐδὲν ἄλλ' ἢ διὰ τὰς τέχνας ἐπαινοῦμεν, οὕτως καὶ τῶν ἀνθρώπων τούς ἀρίστους θείας ἀξιωθῆναι τιμῆς, οὐχ ὅτι καλῶς ἔδραμον ἐν τοῖς ἀγῶσιν ἢ δίσκον ἔρριψαν η διεπάλαισαν, άλλὰ διὰ την ἀπὸ τῶν τεγνῶν εὐεργεσίαν. Ἀσκληπιός γέ τοι καὶ Διόνυσος, εἴτ' άνθρωποι πρότερον ήστην εἴτ' ἀρχῆθεν θεοί, τιμῶν ἀξιοῦνται μεγίστων, ὃ μὲν διὰ τὴν ἰατρικήν, δ δ' ὅτι τὴν περὶ τὰς ἀμπέλους ἡμᾶς τέχνην έδίδαξεν. εί δ' ούκ έθέλεις έμοὶ πείθεσθαι, τόν γε θεὸν αἰδέσθητι τὸν Πύθιον οὗτός ἐστιν ὁ καὶ τὸν Σωκράτην είπών ἀνδρῶν ἁπάντων σοφώτατον εἶναι, καὶ τῶ Λυκούργω προσφωνήσας ὧδ' εἶπεν

ήκεις, ὦ Λυκόοργε, ἐμὸν ποτὶ πίονα νηὸν

Ζηνὶ φίλος καὶ πᾶσιν ᾿Ολύμπια δώματ' ἔχουσι.

δίζω ή σε θεὸν μαντεύσομαι ἢ ἄνθρωπον,

άλλ' ἔτι καὶ μᾶλλον θεὸν ἔλπομαι, ὦ Λυκόοργε.'

ό δ' αὐτὸς οὖτος θεὸς καὶ τὸν ᾿Αρχίλοχον τεθνεῶτα φαίνεται τιμῶν οὐ τὰ μέτρια· τὸν γοῦν φονέα βουλόμενον εἰσελθεῖν εἰς τὸν νεὼν αὐτοῦ διεκώλυσεν εἰπών

'Μουσάων θεράποντα κατέκτανες· ἔξιθι νηοῦ.'

10. λέγε δή μοι καὶ σừ τὰς τῶν ἀθλητῶν προσαγορεύσεις. άλλ' ούκ έρεῖς ὅτι μηδ' ἔχεις είπεῖν, εί μή τι τοῦ μάρτυρος ὡς οὐκ ἀξιόχρεω κατέγνωκας έμφαίνειν γὰρ ἔοικάς τι τοιοῦτον, όταν ἐπὶ τοὺς πολλοὺς τὸν λόγον ἄγῃς μάρτυρας καὶ τὸν παρὰ τούτων ἔπαινον προχειρίζῃ. καίτοι <γ'> οὔτε νοσῶν εὖ οἶδ' ὅτι τοῖς πολλοῖς ἂν ἐπιτρέψαις αύτὸν ἀλλ' ὀλίγοις δή τισιν ἐξ άπάντων καὶ τούτων τοῖς ἰατρικωτάτοις, οὔτ' οὖν πλέων ἅπασι τοῖς συμπλέουσιν ἀλλ' ἑνὶ τῷ κυβερνήτῃ, ἔτι τε ἐπὶ τῶν σμικροτάτων τέκτονι μέν τεκταινόμενος, ὑποδημάτων δὲ χρήζων τῶ σχυτοτόμω. πῶς ἂν οὖν ἔνθα περὶ πρωτείων ό άγών έστι, σαυτῷ διδοίης τῆς κρίσεως τὴν έξουσίαν, ἀφελόμενος αὐτὴν τῶν σοφωτέρων ἢ κατὰ σαυτόν; παρίημι γὰρ τό γε νῦν εἶναι μεμνήσθαι τῶν θεῶν. ἀχουσον οὖν ὅπως Εὐριπίδης φρονεῖ περὶ τῶν ἀθλητῶν·

'κακῶν γὰρ ὄντων μυρίων καθ' Ἑλλάδα οὐδὲν κάκιον ἔστιν ἀθλητῶν γένους·
οῗ πρῶτον οἰκεῖν οὕτε μανθάνουσιν εὖ
οὕτ' ἂν δύναιντο. πῶς γὰρ ὅστις ἔστ' ἀνὴρ γνάθου τε δοῦλος νηδύος θ' ἡττημένος, κτήσαιτ' ἂν ὅλβον εἰς ὑπεκτροφὴν πάτρας;
οὖδ' αὖ πένεσθαι κάξυπηρετεῖν τύχαις
<οἶοί τ'>· ἔθη γὰρ οὐκ ἐθισθέντες καλὰ σκληρῶς μεταλλάσσουσιν εἰς τἀμήχανον.'
ὅτι δὲ καὶ τῶν ἐπιτηδευομένων αὐτοῖς

εἴπερ ἐθέλεις ἅ φησιν·

'τίς γὰρ παλαίσας εὖ, τίς ὠκύπους ἀνὴρ
ἢ δίσκον ἄρας ἢ γνάθον πλήξας καλῶς
πόλει πατρώα στέφανον ἤρκεσεν λαβών;'
εἰ δὲ καὶ τούτων ἔτι λεπτομερέστερον

ἀκούειν ἐθέλεις, ἄκουε πάλιν ἅ φησι·
 'nότερα μαχοῦνται πολεμίοισιν ἐν χεροῖν
 δίσκους ἔχοντες ἢ δι' ἀσπίδων ποσὶ
 θέοντες ἐκβαλοῦσι πολεμίους πάτρας;
 οὐδεὶς σιδήρου ταῦτα μωραίνει πέλας.'
 πότερον οὖν Εὐριπίδου μὲν καὶ τῶν

τοιούτων καταγνῶμεν, τοῖς δὲ φιλοσόφοις έπιτρέψωμεν τὴν κρίσιν; ἀλλὰ καὶ πρὸς τούτων άπάντων ὥσπερ έξ ένὸς στόματος ὡμολόγηται φαῦλον εἶναι τὸ ἐπιτήδευμα. οὐδὲ μὴν οὐδὲ τῶν ἰατρῶν τις ἐπήνεσεν αὐτό· πρῶτον μὲν γὰρ Ἱπποκράτους ἀκούσῃ λέγοντος 'διάθεσις άθλητική οὐ φύσει, ἕξις ὑγιεινή κρείσσων', έπειτα δὲ καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἁπάντων τῶν μετ' αὐτὸν ἀρίστων ἰατρῶν. ὅλως μὲν οὖν ἐπὶ μάρτυρος ούχ έβουλόμην χρίνεσθαι· δητοριχοῦ γάρ τὸ τοιοῦτον μᾶλλον ἢ τιμῶντος ἀλήθειαν άνδρός· ὅμως δ' ἐπειδή τινες ἐπὶ τὸν τῶν πολλῶν ἔπαινον καταφεύγουσι καὶ τὴν παρὰ τούτων κενήν δόξαν, ἀφέντες αὐτὸ <καθ' ἑαυτὸ τὸ> ἐπιτήδευμα γυμνὸν τῶν ἔξωθεν σκοπεῖν, ήναγκάσθην κάγώ τούτους προχειρίσασθαι τοὺς μάρτυρας, ἵν' ὅτι μηδ' ἐνταῦθα πλέον ἔχουσί τι γιγνώσκωσιν. ὅθεν οὐδὲ τὸ τῆς Φρύνης ἄκαιρον ήδη μοι διηγήσασθαι. αὕτη ποτ' ἐν συμποσίω, παιδιᾶς τοιαύτης γενομένης ὡς ἕχαστον ἐν μέρει προστάξαι τοῖς συμπόταις ὃ βούλοιτο, θεασαμένη παρούσας γυναϊκας άγχούση τε καὶ ψιμυθίω καὶ φύκει κεκαλλωπισμένας ἐκέλευσεν ύδατος χομισθέντος άρυσαμένας ταῖς χερσί προσενεγκείν άπαξ αὐτὸ τῷ προσώπῳ καὶ μετά τοῦτο εὐθέως ἀπομάξασθαι σινδονίω, καὶ αὐτὴ πρώτη τοῦτ' ἔπραξε. ταῖς μὲν οὖν άλλαις ἁπάσαις σπίλου τὰ πρόσωπα ἐπληρώθη, και ήν δμοιότατα ίδεῖν τοῖς μορμολυκείοις, αὐτὴ δὲ <καὶ> καλλίων ἐφάνη· μόνη γὰρ ἦν άκαλλώπιστός τε καὶ αὐτοφυῶς καλή, μηδεμιᾶς πανουργίας κομμωτικής δεομένη. ὥσπερ οὖν τὸ ἀληθινὸν κάλλος ἀκριβῶς ἐξετάζεται μόνον αὐτὸ καθ' ἑαυτὸ τῶν ἔξωθεν αὐτῷ προσόντων άπάντων γυμνωθέν, οὕτω καὶ τὴν ἀθλητικὴν έπιτήδευσιν έξετάζεσθαι προσήκει μόνην, εί τι φαίνοιτ' ἔχειν χρήσιμον ἢ κοινῇ ταῖς πόλεσιν ἢ ίδία τοῖς μεταχειριζομένοις αὐτήν.

11. ἀγαθῶν οὖν τῶν ἐν τῇ φύσει τῶν μὲν περὶ ψυχὴν ὄντων τῶν δὲ περὶ σῶμα τῶν δ' ἐκτός, καὶ παρὰ ταῦτ' οὐδενὸς ἄλλου γένους ἀγαθῶν ἐπινοουμένου, ὅτι μὲν τῶν ψυχικῶν ἀγαθῶν οὐδ' ὄναρ ποτὲ μετειλήφασιν οἱ ἀθλοῦντες εὕδηλον παντί. τὴν ἀρχὴν γὰρ οὐδ' εἰ ψυχὴν ἔχουσι γιγνώσκουσι· τοσοῦτον ἀποδέουσι τοῦ λογικὴν αὐτὴν ἐπίστασθαι. σαρκῶν γὰρ ἀεὶ καὶ αἴματος ἀθροίζοντες πλῆθος ὡς ἐν βορβόρῷ πολλῷ τὴν ψυχὴν παντελῶς ἔχουσι κατεσβεσμένην, οὐδὲν ἀκριβῶς νοῆσαι δυναμένην ἀλλ' ἄνουν όμοίως τοῖς ἀλόγοις ζώοις. ἴσως δ' <ἂν> άμφισβητήσειαν ώς <εἶεν> τῶν περὶ τὸ σῶμά τινος ἀγαθῶν ἐπήβολοι. πότερον οὖν τῆς τιμιωτάτης ύγιείας ἀμφισβητήσουσιν; ἀλλ' ούδένας άλλους αν εύροις έν σφαλερωτέρα διαθέσει σώματος, εἴπερ Ἱπποκράτει δεῖ πιστεύειν εἰπόντι τὴν καὶ ἐπ' ἄκρον εὐεξίαν σφαλεράν ὑπάρχειν, ἡν μεταδιώκουσιν οὗτοι. καί δή καί τὸ 'ἄσκησις [τῆς] ὑγιείης ἀκορίη τροφῆς, ἀοκνίη πόνων' Ἱπποκράτει καλῶς είρημένον έπαινεῖται πρός ἁπάντων. οἳ δὲ τούναντίον ἐπιτηδεύουσιν, ὑπερπονοῦντές τε καὶ ὑπερπιπλάμενοι καὶ ὅλως τὸν τοῦ παλαιοῦ λόγον ὡς Κόροιβ<ος> ἀνοήτως κατεγνωκότες. δ μέν γάρ ύγιεινην δίαιταν ύποτιθέμενος έλεγε 'πόνοι σιτία ποτὰ ὕπνοι ἀφροδίσια, πάντα μέτρια,' οι δε τὰ γυμνάσια πέρα τοῦ προσήκοντος έκάστης ήμέρας διαπονοῦσι τροφάς τε προσφέρονται σύν ἀνάγκῃ, πολλάκις άχρι μέσων νυχτῶν ἐχτείνοντες τὴν ἐδωδήν, ὡς προσηκόντως αν τιν' έπ' αὐτῶν εἰπεῖν 'άλλοι μέν βα θεοί τε και άνέρες ιπποκορυσται εὗδον παννύχιοι μαλαχῶ δεδμημένοι ὕπνω· ἀλλ' ούκ άθλητὰς κακοδαίμονας ὕπνος ἔμαρπτεν'. άνὰ λόγον τοίνυν τούτοις καὶ ἡ τῶν ὕπνων αύτοῖς ἔχει συμμέτρησις. ἡνίκα γὰρ οἱ κατὰ φύσιν βιοῦντες ἀπὸ τῶν ἔργων <ἡσυχίαν> έχουσιν έδεσμάτων δεόμενοι, τηνικαῦθ' οὗτοι διανίστανται τῶν ὕπνων, ὥστ' ἐοικέναι τὸν βίον αὐτῶν ὑῶν διαγωγῆ· πλήν γ' ὄσον οἱ μὲν ὕες οὐχ ύπερπονοῦσιν οὐδὲ πρὸς ἀνάγκην ἐσθίουσιν, οί δε και ταῦτα πάσχουσι και ροδοδάφναις ένίοτε τὰ νῶτα διαχναίονται. ὁ μὲν οὖν παλαιὸς [Ιπποκράτης] πρός οἶς εἶπον ἔμπροσθεν ἔτι καὶ ταῦτά φησι 'τὸ κατὰ πολὺ καὶ ἐξαπίνης πληροῦν ἢ κενοῦν ἢ θερμαίνειν ἢ ψύχειν ἢ άλλως όπωσοῦν τὸ σῶμα κινεῖν σφαλερόν. 'πᾶν γάρ, φησί, τὸ πολὺ τῆ φύσει πολέμιον.' οί δ' οὔτε τούτων ἐπαίουσιν οὔτε τῶν ἄλλων όσα καλῶς εἰπόντος ἐκείνου παραβαίνουσιν, άλλὰ πάντ' έξ ὑπεναντίου τοῖς ὑγιεινοῖς παραγγέλμασιν ἐπιτηδεύουσιν. καὶ διὰ τοῦτ' ἂν έγωγε φαίην άσχησιν ούχ ύγιείας άλλὰ νόσου μαλλον εἶναι τὸ ἐπιτήδευμα τοῦτο. <ταὐτὸ> δ' οἶμαι καὶ αὐτὸν τὸν Ἱπποκράτην φρονεῖν, έπειδὰν λέγη 'διάθεσις ἀθλητική οὐ φύσει, ἕξις ύγιεινη κρείσσων.' οὐ γὰρ μόνον τοῦ κατὰ φύσιν έστερῆσθαι τὸ ἐπιτήδευμα δι' ὧν εἶπεν έδήλωσεν, άλλ' οὐδ' ἕξιν ὠνόμασε τὴν διάθεσιν αὐτῶν, ἀφαιρούμενος καὶ τῆς προσηγορίας

ñ προσαγορεύουσιν ἄπαντες αὐτοὺς οί παλαιοί τούς ὄντως ὑγιαίνοντας. ἡ μὲν γὰρ ἕξις ἔμμονός τίς ἐστι καὶ δυσαλλοίωτος διάθεσις, ή δὲ τῶν ἀθλητῶν ἐπ' ἄχρον εὐεξία σφαλερά τε καὶ εὐμετάπτωτος, οὔτε γὰρ πρόσθεσιν ἔτι διὰ τὴν ἀχρότητα χωρεῖ καὶ τῷ μὴ δύνασθαι μένειν ἐπὶ ταὐτοῦ μηδ' ἀτρεμεῖν λοιπὴν ἔγει τὴν ἐπὶ τὸ χεῖρον ὁδόν. οὕτως μὲν ἀθλοῦσιν αὐτοῖς διάχειται τὸ σῶμα, καταλύσασι δὲ πολύ δή τι χεῖρον. ἔνιοι μὲν γὰρ μετ' ὀλίγον άποθνήσκουσιν, ἔνιοι δ' ἐπὶ πλέον <μέν> ήκουσιν ήλικίας, άλλ' οὐδ' αὐτοὶ γηρῶσιν οὐδὲ καν αφίκωνταί ποτ' είς τοῦτο, τῶν Ομηρικῶν οὐδὲν ἀπολείπονται Λιτῶν, 'χωλοί τε ῥυσοί τε παραβλῶπές τ' ὀφθαλμώ' ἀποτελούμενοι. ώς γάρ τὰ διασεισθέντα τῶν τειχῶν ἀπὸ μηχανημάτων έτοίμως ύπὸ τῆς τυχούσης διαλύεται βλάβης, οὔτε σεισμόν οὔτ' ἄλλην τινὰ βραχυτέραν δυνάμενα φέρειν περίστασιν, ούτω και τὰ τῶν ἀθλητῶν σώματα σαθρὰ και άσθενη ταῖς κατὰ τὴν ἐπιτήδευσιν γεγονότα πληγαῖς ἕτοιμα πρὸς τὸ πάσχειν ἐστὶν ἐπὶ ταῖς τυχούσαις προφάσεσιν. οί μέν γὰρ ὀφθαλμοί περιορωρυγμένοι πολλάκις ὄθ' ή δύναμις οὐκέτ' άντέχει πληροῦνται ἑευμάτων, οἱ δ' ὀδόντες άτε διασεσεισμένοι πολλάχις ἐπιλειπούσης έν τῷ χρόνῳ τῆς δυνάμεως αὐτοὺς ἑτοίμως έκπίπτουσι, τὰ δὲ λυγισθέντα τῶν ἄρθρων άσθενῆ πρὸς πᾶσαν τὴν ἔξωθεν βίαν γίγνεται, καὶ πᾶν ῥῆγμα καὶ σπάσμα ῥαδίως κινεῖται. σωματικής μέν οὖν ὑγιείας ἕνεκα φανερὸν ὡς οὐδὲν ἄλλο γένος ἀθλιώτερόν ἐστι τῶν ἀθλητῶν. ὥστ' εἰχότως ἄν τις εἴποι συγγενῶς ὀνομάζεσθαι <τοὺς ἀθλητάς τε καὶ τοὺς ἀθλίους, ἤτοι γε ἀπὸ τοῦ ἀθλίου> τῶν ἀθλητῶν προσαγορευθέντων ἢ άπὸ τοῦ ἀθλητοῦ τὴν προσηγορίαν τῶν ἀθλίων έσχηκότων ή κοινῶς ἀμφοτέρων καθάπερ ἀπὸ πηγῆς μιᾶς τῆς ἀθλιότητος ἀνομασμένων.

12. ἀλλ' ἐπειδὴ καὶ περὶ τοῦ μεγίστου τῶν σωματικῶν ἀγαθῶν τῆς ὑγιείας ἐσκέμμεθα, μεταβῶμεν ἐπὶ τὰ λοιπά. τὰ μὲν δὴ περὶ κάλλους οὕτως αὐτοῖς ἔχει, ὥσ<τε> μὴ μόνον ἀφελήθησαν <μηδέν> τι πρὸς τῆς ἀθλήσεως τὴν φύσιν, ἀλλὰ καὶ πολλοὺς αὐτῶν πάνυ συμμέτρως ἔχοντας τῶν μελῶν οἱ γυμνασταὶ παραλαβόντες, ὑπερπιάναντες δὲ καὶ διασάξαντες αἴματί τε καὶ σαρξὶν εἰς τοὐναντίον ἤγαγον. ἐνίων δὲ καὶ τὰ πρόσωπα παντάπασιν ἄμορφα καὶ δυσειδῆ κατέστησαν καὶ μάλιστα τῶν παγκράτιον ἢ πυγμὴν ἀσκησάντων. ὅταν δὲ καὶ τῶν μελῶν <ἀλλήλοις τι> τελέως ἀποκλάσωσιν ἢ διαστρέψωσιν ἢ τοὺς ὀφθαλμοὺς ἐκκόψωσι, τότ' οἶμαι τότε καὶ μάλιστα τὸ <ἐκ> τῆς ἐπιτηδεύσεως αὐτῶν ἀποτελούμενον κάλλος ἐναργῶς ὁρᾶσθαι. ταῦτα μὲν οὖν αὐτοῖς ὑγιαίνουσιν εἰς κάλλος εὐτύχηται, καταλύσασι δὲ καὶ τὰ λοιπὰ <τῶν> τοῦ σώματος αἰσθητηρίων προσαπόλλυται καὶ πάνθ' ὡς εἰπεῖν τὰ μέλη διαστρεφόμενα παντοίας ἀμορφίας αἴτια γίγνεται.

13. $d\lambda\lambda'$ is a constant of the constant of t ίσχύος <δ'> άντιποιήσονται τοῦτο γὰρ εὖ οἶδ' ὅτι φήσουσιν, ἰσχυρότατοι πάντων εἶναι. ποίας, ὦ πρὸς θεῶν, ἰσχύος καὶ πρὸς <τί> χρησίμης; πότερον τῆς εἰς τὰ γεωργικὰ τῶν ἔργων; πάνυ μέν οὖν καλῶς ἢ σκάπτειν ἢ θερίζειν ἢ ἀροῦν <η̈> τι τῶν ἄλλων τῶν κατὰ γεωργίαν δύνανται. άλλ' ἴσως <τῆς> εἰς τὰ πολεμικά; τὸν Εὐριπίδην αὖθίς μοι κάλει, ὄστις αὐτοὺς ὑμνήσει λέγων πότερα μαχοῦνται πολεμίοισιν, ἐν χεροῖν δίσκους ἔχοντες;' τῷ γὰρ ὄντι 'οὐδεὶς σιδήρου ταῦτα μωραίνει πέλας.' ἀλλὰ πρὸς κρύος καὶ θάλπος ἰσχυροί; αὐτοῦ γε τοῦ Ἡρακλέους ζηλωταί, ώς ένὶ καὶ χειμῶνος καὶ θέρους σκέπεσθαι δέρματι, ώς άνυπόδετοι διατελεῖν, ύπαίθριοι κοιμᾶσθαι, χαμευνεῖν· ἐν ἄπασι γὰρ τούτοις τῶν νεογνῶν παίδων εἰσὶν ἀσθενέστεροι. έν τίνι τοίνυν έτι την ίσχυν έπιδείξονται η έπι τίνι μέγα φρονήσουσιν; οὐ γὰρ δήπουθεν ἐπὶ τῷ τοὺς σκυτοτόμους ἢ <τοὺς> τέκτονας ἢ τούς οἰκοδόμους οἶοί τ' εἶναι καταβάλλειν ἐν παλαίστρα τε καὶ σταδίω; τάχ' οὖν ἐπὶ τῷ δι' ὅλης ήμέρας κονίεσθαι <θαυμάζεσθαι> δικαιοῦσιν. άλλὰ τοῦτό γε καὶ τοῖς ὄρτυξι καὶ τοῖς πέρδιξιν ύπάρχει, καὶ εἴπερ ἐπὶ τούτω, μέγα χρὴ φρονεῖν <καὶ> ἐπὶ τῷ δι' ὅλης ἡμέρας βορβόρῳ λούεσθαι. άλλὰ νὴ Δία τῶν ἱερουργημένων ἕνα ταύρων άναθέμενος τοῖς ὤμοις ὁ Μίλων ἐκεῖνος ό Κροτωνιάτης διεχόμισέ ποτε τὸ στάδιον. ὦ τῆς ὑπερβαλλούσης ἀνοίας, ὡς μηδὲ τοῦτο γιγνώσκειν ότι πρό βραχέος τὸ βαρύτατον τοῦτο σῶμα τοῦ ταύρου ζῶντος ἐβάσταζεν ἡ ψυχή τοῦ ζώου, καὶ πολλῶ γ' ἀκοπώτερον ἢ ό Μίλων, εἴ γε καὶ θεῖν ἠδύνατο βαστάζουσα· άλλ' ὅμως οὐδενὸς ἦν ἀξία, παραπλησίως τῆ Μίλωνος. ἐδήλωσε δὲ καὶ ἡ τελευτὴ τἀνδρὸς ŏπως ἦν ἀνόητος· ὄς γε θεασάμενός ποτε νεανίσκον σχίζοντα κατά μῆκος ξύλον ἐνθέσει σφηνῶν, ἐκεῖνον μὲν ἀπέστησε καταγελάσας, αὐτὸς δὲ διὰ τῶν ἑαυτοῦ χειρῶν μόνων έτόλμησε διασπᾶν αὐτό. κάπειθ' ὄσον εἶγεν ίσχύος εύθὺς ἐν τῇ πρώτῃ προσβολῇ πληρώσας διέστησε μεν έχατέρωσε τὰ μέρη τοῦ ξύλου, τῶν σφηνῶν δ' ἐκπεσόντων ἐν τῷδε τὸ λοιπὸν τοῦ ξύλου μέρος ἀδυνατῶν διαστῆσαι μέχρι πολλοῦ μέν άντειχεν, ὕστερον δὲ νιχηθεὶς οὐχ ἔφθασε κομίσασθαι τὰς χεῖρας, ἀλλ' ὑπὸ τῶν μερῶν τοῦ ξύλου συνελθόντων άλλήλοις καταληφθεῖσαι συνετρίβησαν μέν αύται πρῶται, θανάτου δ' οἰκτροῦ τῷ Μίλωνι κατέστησαν αἴτιαι. πάνυ μὲν οὖν ὤνησεν αὐτὸν εἰς τὸ μηδὲν παθεῖν ὁ νεκρὸς ταῦρος ἐν τῷ σταδίῳ βασταχθείς ἢ τὸ κοινὸν τῶν Έλλήνων ἔσωσεν ἄν, ἡνίκα πρὸς τὸν βάρβαρον έπολέμουν, ή τοιαύτη τοῦ Μίλωνος ἐνέργεια περί τὸν ταῦρον, ἀλλ' οὐχ ἡ Θεμιστοκλέους σοφία πρῶτον μὲν ὑποκριναμένου καλῶς τὸν χρησμόν, είτα στρατηγήσαντος ώς έχρην; 'σοφόν γὰρ ἕν βούλευμα <τὰς> πολλὰς χέρας νικά, σύν ὅπλοις δ' ἀμαθία χεῖρον κακόν'. ότι μέν είς οὐδέν τῶν κατὰ τὸν βίον ἔργων χρήσιμος ή τῶν ἀθλητῶν ἀσκησις εὖ οἶδ' ὅτι σαφές ήδη γέγονεν ότι δε και έν αυτοῖς οἶς άσκοῦσιν οὐδενός εἰσιν ἄξιοι λόγου μάθοιτ' άν, εί διηγησαίμην ὑμῖν τὸν μῦθον ἐκεῖνον, ὃν τῶν οὐκ ἀμούσων ἀνδρῶν τις ἐντείνας ἔπεσι διεσκεύασεν. έστι δε οὗτος εί Διὸς γνώμη πᾶσι τοῖς ζώοις ὁμόνοια καὶ κοινωνία γένοιτο πρὸς τὸν βίον, ὡς τὸν ἐν ἘΟλυμπία κήρυκα μὴ μόνον άνθρώπους τοὺς ἀγωνιουμένους καλεῖν ἀλλὰ καὶ πᾶσιν ἐπιτρέπειν τοῖς ζώοις εἰς τὸ στάδιον ήκειν, έν <τοῖς ἀγῶσιν> οὐδένα ἂν ἄνθρωπον οἶμαι στεφθήσεσθαι. 'έν μὲν γὰρ δολιχῷ <καί> ύπέρτατος, φησίν, ἔσσεται ἵππος, τὸ στάδιον δὲ λαγωὸς ἀποίσεται, ἐν δὲ διαύλω δορκὰς άριστεύσει. μερόπων δ' έναρίθμιος οὐδεὶς ἐν ποσίν, ὦ κούφων ἀσκήτορες, ἄθλιοι ἄνδρες.' άλλ' οὐδὲ τῶν ἀφ' Ἡρακλέους τις ἐλέφαντος η λέοντος ίσχυρότερος αν φανείη. οἶμαι δ' ὅτι καί ταῦρος πυγμῆ στεφθήσεται. 'καὶ δὴ λὰξ όνος, φησίν, εἴ τις ἐρίζειν βούλεται αὐτῷ, οἴσει τόν στέφανον.' 'αὐτὰρ ἐν ἱστορίῃ πολυπείρου γράψετ' ἀγῶνος, <ώς> τό γε παγκράτιον <μρατερόν> νίμησέ ποτ' άνδρα (είμοστῃ δ' ἔπι πρώτη ὀλυμπιὰς ἦν ὅτ' ἐνίκα) 'Ογκηστής.' πάνυ χαριέντως οὗτος ὁ μῦθος ἐπιδείχνυσι τὴν άθλητικήν ίσχύν ού τῶν ἀνθρωπίνων οὖσαν ἀσκημάτων·καίτοι γ'εἰμηδ'ἐνἰσχύι πρωτεύουσι τῶν ζώων οἱ ἀθληταί, τίνος ἂν ἔτι τῶν ἄλλων έπήβολοι γενηθεῖεν ἀγαθῶν;

14. εί δὲ καὶ τὴν ἡδονὴν σώματός τις ἀγαθὸν εἶναι φαίη, οὐδὲ αὐτῆς ταύτης αὐτοῖς μέτεστιν οὔτ' οὖν ἀθλοῦσιν οὔτε καταλύσασιν, εἴ γε παρά μέν τὸν τῆς ἀθλήσεως χρόνον ἐν πόνοις τε καὶ ταλαιπωρίαις εἰσίν, οὐ γυμναζόμενοι μόνον άλλὰ καὶ πρὸς ἀνάγκην ἐσθίοντες, ἡνίκα δὲ καὶ καταλύσαντες τύγοιεν, ἀνάπηροι τὰ πλεῖστα μέρη τοῦ σώματος γίγνονται. τάχ' οὖν έπὶ τῷ χρήματα πάντων ἀθροίζειν πλεῖστα σεμνύνονται· καὶ μὴν ἔστιν ὑμῖν θεάσασθαι πάντας αὐτοὺς ὀφείλοντας οὐ μόνον ἐκεῖνον τὸν χρόνον καθ' δν άθλοῦσιν άλλὰ καὶ καταλύσαντας την άσκησιν, ούδ' αν εύροις άθλητην ούδένα πλουσιώτερον ένος τῶν ἐπιτυχόντων οἰκονόμων άνδρὸς πλουσίου. ἐστὶ δ' οὐδ' αὐτὸ τὸ πλουτεῖν έξ ἐπιτηδεύματος ἀξιόλογον ἁπλῶς, ἀλλὰ τὸ τοιαύτην ἐπίστασθαι τέχνην ἡ καὶ ναυαγήσασι συνεκκολυμβήσει· ὅπερ οὔτε τοῖς διοικοῦσι τὰ τῶν πλουσίων οὔτε τοῖς τελώναις ἢ τοῖς έμπόροις ύπάρχει. καίτοι πλουτοῦσιν ἐξ έπιτηδευμάτων ούτοι μάλιστα, άλλ' ἐὰν άπολέσωσι τὰ χρήματα, συναπολλύουσιν αὐτοῖς καὶ τὰς πράξεις, ἀφορμῆς μέν τινος χρημάτων είς αὐτὰς δεόμενοι, τῷ δ' οὐκ ἔχειν ταύτην <αὖθις> ἄρξασθαι τῆς ἀρχαίας πράξεως άδυνατοῦντες οὐδὲ γὰρ δανείζει τις αὐτοῖς χωρίς ἐνεχύρων ἢ ὑποθηκῶν. ὥστ' εἰ καὶ πρὸς χρηματισμόν ἀσφαλῆ τε καὶ οὐκ ἄδοξον ἀξιοῖ τις ύμᾶς παρεσκευάσθαι, τέχνην ἀσκητέον ἐστὶ καὶ διὰ παντὸς τοῦ βίου παραμενοῦσαν. ἀλλὰ διττῆς οὔσης διαφορᾶς τῆς πρώτης ἐν ταῖς τέχναις (ἕνιαι μὲν γὰρ αὐτῶν λογικαί τ' εἰσὶ καὶ σεμναί, τινές δ' εύκαταφρόνητοι καὶ διὰ τῶν τοῦ σώματος πόνων, ἃς δὴ βαναύσους τε καὶ χειρωνακτικάς όνομάζουσιν), άμεινον αν είη τοῦ προτέρου γένους τῶν τεχνῶν μετέρχεσθαί τινα. τὸ γάρ τοι δεύτερον γένος αὐτῶν ἐπιλείπειν είωθε γηρῶντας τοὺς τεχνίτας. εἰσὶ δ' ἐκ τοῦ προτέρου γένους ἰατρική τε καὶ ῥητορικὴ καὶ μουσική, γεωμετρία τε καὶ ἀριθμητικὴ καὶ λογιστική, καὶ ἀστρονομία καὶ γραμματικὴ καὶ νομική πρόσθες δ' εί βούλει ταύταις πλαστικήν τε καὶ γραφικήν· εἰ γὰρ καὶ διὰ τῶν χειρῶν ένεργοῦσιν, ἀλλ' οὐκ ἰσχύος νεανικῆς δεῖται τὸ έργον αύτῶν. ἐκ τούτων οὖν τινα τῶν τεχνῶν άναλαμβάνειν τε καὶ ἀσκεῖν χρὴ τὸν νέον, ὅτῷ μή παντάπασιν ή ψυχή βοσκηματώδης έστί, καὶ μαλλόν γε τὴν ἀρίστην ἐν ταύταις, ἥτις ὡς ἡμεῖς φαμεν ἐστίν ἰατρική. τοῦτο δ' αὐτὸ δεικτέον έφεξῆς....